

580 Camino Mercado Arroyo Grande, CA 93420 805 543 6082

Blackgold.org

### ADMINISTRATIVE COUNCIL AGENDA Friday, June 17, 2022, at 10:00 am Dorothea Nelson Conference Room Santa Maria Public Library

Presiding: FY 2021/22 Chair Jessica Cadiente, SB

<sup>\*</sup> Item accompanies the agenda.

	genda and business meeting addenda (compiled PDF) <a href="mailto:@http://ats.blackgold.org">@http://ats.blackgold.org</a> uxiliary Receive and File addenda PDFs @ http://ats.blackgold.org
Symbol	Key
М	Move to approve
*	PDF accompanies posted agenda
p.	Associated addendum page number(s) located @ top center of compiled agenda PDF.
**	Item to be provided later
<b>•</b>	Item updated in the Administrative Council Notebook @ http://ats.blackgold.org

- 1. 10:00a.m. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. M-ADOPTION OF AGENDA
- 3. PUBLIC TESTIMONY
- 4. M-CONSENT CALENDAR
  - a. \*Administrative Council Minutes 05.20.22 (page 3)
  - b. \* Administrative Council Special Meeting 05.31.22 (page 10)
  - c. \* Financial Reports February 2022 (page 18)
  - d. \*Net Borrowing/Lending April 2022 (page 28)
  - e. \*Net Borrowing/Lending May 2022 (page 31)
- 5. AUXILIARY RECEIVE AND FILE [posted @ http://ats.blackgold.org]
  - a. Departmental Resources
    - i. Circulation ATS Checkout & Renewal Statistics May 2022
    - ii. Cataloging May 2022
    - iii. Hoopla Recap May 2022
- 6. \*STATE LIBRARY REPORT June Report provided by Bev Schwartzberg (State Library)
- 7. OLD BUSINESS
  - a. \*M Palace Project (E-books for All) (SLO) Follow-up Discussion from the 04/15/22 Administrative Council meeting to determine whether Black Gold should shift content from BG's platform to the Palace Project. (page 34)
  - b. \*Pension Liability (SB/SLO) DISCUSSION Engage in a discussion concerning apportionment of pension liability and attempt to resolve the outstanding issues. (page 35)
  - c. \*M Pension Liability (SP) Adopt a resolution to address the current and continuing obligation of all jurisdictions to pay their respective shares of any UAL and/or any Unfunded Termination Liability. (page 36)
  - d. \*M-2022-23 Final Budget Approve the final draft of the FY 2022-23 Budget. (page 39)

### 8. **NEW BUSINESS**

a. \* M - Wells Fargo Business (BG) – Authorize Glynis Fitzgerald as Director of Operations to be added as key executive and signer on the Wells Fargo account. (page 46)

### Black Gold Cooperative Library System Administrative Council

- b. \* M Black Gold Contributions 4th Q FY22 (SB/SLO) The jurisdictions of Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo have requested an itemized accounting of fourth quarter expenses at least on three separate occasions. Direct the Operations Manager to supply actual costs to provide services to Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo for Q4 FY22. (page 47)
- 9. BLACK GOLD OPERATIONS DIRECTOR REPORT
- 10. OPPORTUNITIES FOR COLLABORATION/NEW SERVICES
- 11. ROUNDTABLE Remarks by Library Directors
- 12. M ADJOURNMENT

**Distribution - Email notice of web-posted agenda to** Ned Branch, SP; Jessica Cadiente, SB; Sarah Bleyl, LM; Dawn Jackson, SM; Christopher Barnickel, SLO; Angelica Fortin, PR; Allison Gray, GV; Glynis Fitzgerald, BG.



580 Camino Mercado Arroyo Grande, CA 93420 805 543 6082 Blackgold.org

# ADMINISTRATIVE COUNCIL MINUTES Friday, May 20, 2022, at 10:00 am Grossman Gallery Lompoc Public Library

Presiding: FY 2021/22 Vice Chair Allison Gray, GV

Attending: Ned Branch, SP; Allison Gray, GV; Sarah Bleyl, LM; Dawn Jackson, SM; Angelica Fortin, PR; Glynis Fitzgerald, BG, Kristina Uvalle, BG; Bev Schwartzberg, State Library.

- 1. 10:20a.m. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. **M**-ADOPTION OF AGENDA 1<sup>st</sup> AF/2<sup>nd</sup> NB. Roll call: SP yes, GV yes, LM yes, SM yes, PR- yes. SLO absent, SB absent. Approved.
- 3. PUBLIC TESTIMONY N/A
- 4. M-CONSENT CALENDAR
  - a. \*Administrative Council Minutes 04.15.22
  - b. \*Financial Reports January 2022

1st SJB/ 2nd NB. Roll call: SP – yes, GV – yes, LM – yes, SM – yes, PR- yes. SLO – absent, SB – absent. Approved.

- 5. AUXILIARY RECEIVE AND FILE [posted @ http://ats.blackgold.org]
  - a. Departmental Resources
    - i. Circulation ATS Checkout & Renewal Statistics thru April 22, 2022
    - ii. Cataloging April 2022
    - iii. Hoopla Recap April 2022
    - iv. FY 2022-23 Committee Calendars
- 6. \*STATE LIBRARY REPORT May Report provided by Bev Schwartzberg (State Library)

Break at 10:30 a.m. for technical difficulties. Resume at 10:34 a.m.

### 7. OLD BUSINESS

Prior to proceeding with items on the agenda, Council reviewed letters from attorneys for SLO County and the City of Santa Barbara. In response to concerns expressed in the letter from Santa Barbara, Council decided to not vote on any of the items listed in section 7of the agenda but would proceed with informational discussion.

- a. \*M Palace Project (E-books for All) (SLO) GF (BG) reported that eligible Overdrive items would be dependent on what the publisher would allow. CPC or metered items are not eligible. Palace Project does not offer any "prefer my patron" option. There is currently no way to retrieve any items donated and students using SORA would not be able to access Palace Project materials. Overdrive does offer a reciprocal lending agreement which would allow libraries to share items outside of the cooperative. This uses "prefer my patron" and allows libraries to determine which items to share.
- b. \*M CENIC Contracts for SLO & SB The letter from the Santa Barbara City attorney stated that Santa Barbara Libraries will not proceed with CENIC through Black Gold. Early termination fees will apply. SLO requested to remain on CENIC through Black Gold until they can get their equipment and have it up and running. Mr. Barnickel presented the proposed MOU to SLO County Supervisors, and it was approved; however, we have not heard anything from SLO, nor do we have a signed written agreement. The contract for CENIC is due today with no possibility of an extension at this point. Council asked if it was possible cancel the contract within 30 days if necessary. GF (BG) will verify that BG has the option to cancel a contract with CENIC with a 30-day notice.

- c. \*M Pension Liability (SP) NB (SP) wanted to emphasize that the proposed resolution was to address the on-going issue of the unfunded liability. Without a resolution, other options would be to ask for a declaratory relief by a judge or submit an administrative claim against jurisdictions who have exited the Cooperative.
- d. \*M-2022-23 Final Budget Council requested a special meeting be convened to approve the final budget prior to the end of the current fiscal year.

### 8. **NEW BUSINESS**

- a. \*\*M Plan of Service 2022-23 1st SJB/2nd DJ. Roll call: SP yes, GV yes, LM yes, SM yes, PR- yes. SLO absent, SB absent. Approved.
- M June Administrative Council Meeting decide whether it is necessary to hold a June meeting (tentative date: 6/17/22) to wrap-up any issues going into the new fiscal year of 2022-23.

A motion was made to schedule a June Administrative Meeting for June 17, 2022, at the Santa Maria Public Library.

 $1^{st}$  AF/2<sup>nd</sup> NB. Roll call: SP – yes, GV – yes, LM – yes, SM – yes, PR- yes. SLO – absent, SB – absent. Approved.

9. **BLACK GOLD OPERATIONS DIRECTOR REPORT –** GF (BG) reported the RAS Committee will meet in June to review Overdrive purchasing budgets and guidelines for the FY 2022-23.

The CLS Board could give a 40% increase to CLSA, but nothing is definitive. Delivery will move to Tuesdays and Fridays starting July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2022. The date for a once-a-month delivery to SB and SLO is yet to be determined. The net borrowing/lending report is still being designed by Bywater and should be available soon. A report detailing the number of patrons from SB and SLO who are getting Black Gold cards will be available for libraries needing to report to their cities.

- 10. OPPORTUNITIES FOR COLLABORATION/NEW SERVICES N/A
- 11. ROUNDTABLE Remarks by Library Directors N/A
  - a. **M-ADJOURNMENT at 21:00 p.m. -** 1st SJB/2nd AF. Roll call: SP absent, GV yes, LM yes, SM yes, PR- yes. SLO absent, SB absent. Approved.

**Distribution - Email notice of web-posted agenda to** Ned Branch, SP; Jessica Cadiente, SB; Sarah Bleyl, LM; Dawn Jackson, SM; Christopher Barnickel, SLO; Angelica Fortin, PR; Allison Gray, GV; Glynis Fitzgerald, BG.

From: John Doimas < jdoimas@SantaBarbaraCA.gov>

Sent: Friday, May 20, 2022 8:41 AM

To: Glynis Fitzgerald Cc: Jessica Cadiente

Subject: City of Santa Barbara's Objections to the Administrative Council's

Meeting-5-20-22

Dear Ms. Fitzgerald:

I am writing to you on behalf of the Santa Barbara Library over several concerns it has regarding recent

actions proposed to be taken by Black Gold.

The first issue concerns the pension liability item that is on today's agenda for the Administrative

Council. Item Agenda Item 7.c requests action be taken to adopt a resolution to establish a "formula for

distributing Black Gold unfunded pension liability debt among JPA members and requiring separate

member annual contributions toward repayment plan." The authority cited is Government

Code 20575, 6508.1 and 6508.2. However, pursuant to those code sections, any action by the

Administrative Council is premature as the JPA has not been terminated. To that point, no repayment

plan would even be owed at this juncture so long as the JPA exists. Therefore, we respectfully request

this item not go forward today.

In addition, Government Code 6508.2 also requires that the agencies mutually agree on apportionment

once the JPA is terminated. Government Code 6508.2 (b) allows Santa Barbara to challenge any

apportionment decision made to CalPERS, but in order to do so the JPA must be terminated otherwise  $\,$ 

any challenge would be premature. Hence, another reason why the Council must not go forward with

its action as it would abridge the due process rights of Santa Barbara under the Government Code.

A second concern is in regards to the Quarter 4 invoice and your statements made concerning this

matter in today's agenda. The directors of Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo library have repeatedly

asked for a detailed invoice that supports the amount for each line item shown on the invoice you

provided. This is a reasonable request, as neither jurisdiction can, in good conscious, commit public

funds to pay for costs or services that were not incurred or provided. Your correspondences regarding

this matter have been forwarded to me and the Santa Barbara Public Library wants to make it clear that

it is not refusing to pay any invoice. This request is simply an exercise permitted under Section 9 of the

JPA as it allows for an accounting for the JPA members. Therefore, Please provide a detailed invoice

that demonstrates that the amounts requested for each line item represent costs that have actually

been incurred. Upon receipt of a detailed invoice, we will remit payment for those items that represent actual costs.

Santa Barbara was also forwarded an email sent yesterday from Benjamin Dore, Deputy Council of San

Luis Obispo County. Mr. Dore's email raises the concern that many of the items in today's agenda fails

to comply with Government Code § 54954.2 of the Brown Act due to the lack of proper descriptions

(specifically item 7). Santa Barbara also concurs with his assessment. Ms. Cadiente is the current Chair

of the Council and was not consulted on placing items in this agenda. In order protect her and the other

Council members from the liability of potential Brown Act violations, we ask that many of these items (in

particular item 7) do not go forward in today's meeting.

Finally, Santa Barbara Public Library would like to inform the Council that it will migrate the Central

Library from the CENIC network to the City of Santa Barbara's network via Impulse Advanced

Communications as the ISP. Santa Barbara will also migrate the Eastside Library from CENIC to the City

of Santa Barbara's network via Cox Communications. Firewalls, VLANs, content filtering, and subnets at

those two locations will be administered and/or created jointly by City of Santa Barbara IT and SBPL

technology staff. SBPL will migrate the Montecito Library to Cox as well. That library will not be on the

City of Santa Barbara's network; it will be directly administered by SBPL. All migrations are scheduled to occur by 6/30/22.

I request that my email be provided to the full Council in advance of today's meeting.

Thank you,

John Doimas

John Doimas Assistant City Attorney Santa Barbara City Attorney's Office P.O. Box 1990 Santa Barbara, California 93102

Direct Tel.: (805) 564-5397 Main Tel.: (805) 564-5326

Fax: (805) 564-5426

Office Schedule: Monday-Friday 8:00am-5:00pm

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication and its contents or attachments may contain

confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s).

Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws. If

you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the

communication.

From: Ben Dore

To: gfitzgerald@blackgold.org

Cc: Christopher Barnickel; Aracelli Astorga; Rita L. Neal

Subject: County of SLO Concerns with 5.20.22 Admin. Council Agenda

**Date:** Wednesday, May 18, 2022 3:17:00 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Dear Ms. Fitzgerald,

In reviewing the agenda for the Administrative Council meeting on Friday May 22, 2022, I noticed that most, if not all, of the agendized items lack descriptions that comply with the Ralph M. Brown Act. Government Code § 54954.2 requires that agendas include a "a brief general description of each item of business to be transacted or discussed at the meeting, including items to be discussed in closed session. A brief general description of an item generally need not exceed 20 words." (Gov. Code § 54954.2.) To satisfy this requirement, "agenda drafters must give the public a fair chance to participate in matters of particular or general concern by providing the public with more than mere clues from which they must then guess or surmise the essential nature of the business to be considered by a local agency." (San Diegans for Open Gov't v. City of Oceanside (2016) 4 Cal. App. 5th 637, 643.). Of particular concern are the action items listed under the heading "7. Old Business." Specifically, the descriptions for: a. Palace Project (E-books for All) (SLO); b. CENIC Contracts for SLO & SB; and c. Pension Liability (SP). None of these item descriptions satisfy the requirement in section 54954.2, as they do not provide more than a mere clue about the nature of the item. We do not plan on taking any action with respect to these violations and bring them to your attention to ensure that future agendas comply with the Brown Act.

I am also concerned with item 7.b- CENIC Contracts for SLO and the misrepresentation made in the comments section. The County of San Luis Obispo is not unwilling to pay the Quarter 4 invoice, which was received exactly one month ago. We have only asked for additional information on the line items being invoiced. The County will pay the Quarter 4 based on its share of costs actually incurred. This includes any costs incurred in relation to maintaining CENIC service through the next year. But we need to see those costs before we can commit public funds. The County has a forty plus year history of paying its share of Black Gold's operating costs and we do not intend to do any differently now. I would hope that the Administrative Council would not act on the future of the County's CENIC connection based on the false narrative that the County will not pay for continuation of such service. I also find troubling the coupling of payment of the invoice with this action and the implication that, if the County does not pay the invoice immediately, the CENIC connection will be terminated.

In addition, there are significant legal issues with the stated basis for action on item 7.c Pension Liability. The background statement for that item identifies Government Code § 20575 as the basis for the proposed action. However, Government Code § 20575 does not apply. Government Code § 20575 is in Part 3. Public Employee Retirement Systems, Chapter 5. Contract Members of the System, Article 5. Termination of Contracts. Section 20575, and all of Article 5, applies to termination of contracts with the Board of Administration of the Public Employees' Retirement System (the "Board"). (See Gov. Code §§ 20570-20580.) In addition, the recommend action for Agenda Item 7.c is to adopt a resolution to establish a "formula for distributing Black Gold unfunded pension liability debt among JPA members and requiring separate member annual contributions toward repayment plan." The resolution cites Government Code §§ 6508.1 and 6508.2 as an additional basis for the recommended action. For the same reason, neither of these sections are applicable. Moreover, apportionment of pension liability must be agreed on by all members of the JPA and cannot be dictated by anything less than the full membership. This action lacks any legal basis, and I would recommend that the Administrative Council not take the recommended action.

The County wants to have a cooperative relationship with you and the Black Gold member agencies so that we can address all the issues that remain outstanding. It is the County's intent to fulfill its obligations under the JPA in a manner that is equitable to all. I am hopeful that in that spirit, the Administrative Council will not act at Friday's meeting to discontinue the County's CENIC connection or attempt to apportion pension liability with

anything less than full member support.

Sincerely,



Benjamin Dore | Deputy County Counsel | County of San Luis Obispo 1055 Monterey Street, Suite D320 | San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 Tel: (805) 781-5400 | Fax: (805) 781-4221 | Email: bdore@co.slo.ca.us

The information contained in this e-mail, including any attachments, may be privileged, confidential, and/or exempt under applicable law, and covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. sections 2510-2521. This email is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity to which it is addressed and the privileges and exemptions are not waived by virtue of this having been sent by e-mail. If the person actually receiving this e-mail or any other reader of the e-mail is not a named recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to a named recipient, any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error and/or are not the intended recipient, do not read, distribute or reproduce this transmission. Please contact the sender of this email at the above e-mail address and permanently delete the message and any attachments from your system.



580 Camino Mercado Arroyo Grande, CA 93420 805 543 6082 Blackgold.org

### ADMINISTRATIVE COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETING Tuesday, May 31, 2022, at 1:00 pm Grossman Gallery Lompoc Public Library

Presiding: FY 2021/22 Vice Chair Allison Gray, GV

Attending: Ned Branch, SP; Allison Gray, GV; Sarah Bleyl, LM; Dawn Jackson, SM; Christopher Barnickel, SLO; Angelica Fortin, PR; Glynis Fitzgerald, BG, Kristina Uvalle, BG; SLO County Attorney, Ben Dore.

- 1. **1:00 p.m. CALL TO ORDER**
- 2. **M**-ADOPTION OF AGENDA 1<sup>st</sup> CB/2<sup>nd</sup> NB. Roll call: SP − yes, GV − yes, LM − yes, SM − yes, PR- yes. SLO − yes, SB − absent. Approved.
- 3. PUBLIC TESTIMONY Mr. Dore asked to speak after the presentation of item #4.

\*Prior to proceeding with items on the agenda, Council reviewed letters from attorneys for SLO County and the City of Santa Barbara. As requested, these letters are being included in the meeting record.

### 4. OLD BUSINESS

a. \*M - Pension Liability (SP) - As of June 30, 2020, the Unfunded Accrued Liability (UAL) incurred during the time period when Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo were members of Black Gold, is approximately \$738,472. Black Gold seeks to adopt a resolution to address the continuing obligation of all jurisdictions to pay their respective shares of any UAL and/or any Unfunded Termination Liability that may arise in the event of a termination of the contract between Black Gold and CalPERS.

NB (SP) presented the proposed resolution to address the on-going unfunded pension liability and the obligation of present and exiting jurisdictions to share in the cost.

CB (SLO) stated that SLO has continually expressed that SLO is willing to pay any legal obligation is has to Black Gold. Council does not have the authority to address matters concerning the pension. He said that this meeting could have waited until after CLA and that Ventura, Thousand Oaks and Santa Barbara should be part of the discussion.

SJB (LM) said that the matter has been pushed to this point because the Council has not gotten any discussion on the matter from either SLO or SB. It would have been preferable to have heard anything from them in the prior three months since the topic was introduced in February. AG (GV) agreed that part of the problem has been the lack of conversation and it was nice to hear a willingness on SLO's part to have a reasonable discussion.

Ben Dore, attorney for SLO County, stated that any proposed resolution concerning the pension could not be reasonably made until the JPA is terminated. At which point, all current and former members should participate in the discussion. Currently, it appeared that SB and SLO were being ganged up on by other jurisdictions concerning this and other matters that have been brought to vote in recent months.

CB (SLO) stated he was not prepared to continue the discussion without Ventura, Thousand Oaks and Santa Barbara being present. He stated his opinion that SLO had to make continual compromises while being at the Black Gold table. SJB (LM) stated that everyone has made compromises as part of the Cooperative. The point of the Cooperative was to find solutions that were good for everyone.

NB (SP) stated that there may an issue with the statute of limitations concerning Ventura and Thousand Oaks.

The conversation moved on to the possibility of SLO bringing an alternate proposal to the table for discussion. CB (SLO) was willing to talk to the County and legal to see if it was possible but could not make a firm commitment without approval. DJ (SM) asked if SLO was comfortable with the actuary numbers provided and would he be willing to take the findings to SLO County. CB (SLO) stated he had no problem with the actuarial work provided a reasonable and equitable solution could be found.

Council requested that SLO present a possible alternative proposal at the next council meeting scheduled for June 17<sup>th</sup> with or without Ventura and Thousand Oaks.

A motion to adopt the resolution as presented was made. 1st NB/2nd AG. Roll call: SP – yes, GV – yes, LM – no, SM – no, PR- no. SLO – no, SB – absent. Motion denied.

5. **M – ADJOURNMENT at 1:29 p.m. -** 1st NB/2nd SJB. Roll call: SP – yes, GV – yes, LM – yes, SM – yes, PR- yes. SLO – yes, SB – absent. Approved.

**Distribution - Email notice of web-posted agenda to** Ned Branch, SP; Jessica Cadiente, SB; Sarah Bleyl, LM; Dawn Jackson, SM; Christopher Barnickel, SLO; Angelica Fortin, PR; Allison Gray, GV; Glynis Fitzgerald, BG.

From: John Doimas <jdoimas@SantaBarbaraCA.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2022 9:36 AM

To: Glynis Fitzgerald Cc: Jessica Cadiente

Subject: City of Santa Barbara's response to Black Gold May 31, 2022-Special

Meeting

Dear Ms. Fitzgerald:

On behalf of the City of Santa Barbara Library Director Jessica Cadiente and the City of Santa

Barbara, I am submitting the following email to be placed in the administrative record for the

Special Meeting called by Black Gold for May 31, 2022.

Dear Members of the Administrative Council:

This email shall serve as the City of Santa Barbara's objection to the calling of this special

meeting on May 31, 2022, for the purpose of Black Gold distributing unfunded CalPERS

pension liability debt among its JPA members. Director Cadiente is unable to attend this

meeting due to the short notice given. It is disappointing that such a special meeting was called

without the full support of each member agency, especially when there is no immediate need.

As stated in the City's May 20th email, the proposed action by the Administrative Council is

premature as the JPA has not been terminated. To that point, no repayment plan would even be

owed so long as the JPA exists. In addition, Government Code 6508.2 also requires that the

agencies mutually agree on apportionment once the JPA is terminated. Government Code 6508.2

(b) allows Santa Barbara to challenge any apportionment decision made to CalPERS, but in

order to do so the JPA must be terminated otherwise any challenge would be premature.

Moreover, Government Code section 6508.2 requires apportionment among both current and

former members that had a contract in existence prior to January 1, 2019; consequently, it is

inappropriate to hold discussions on this issue without the inclusion of all members of the JPA.

Finally, the proposed action of distributing liability based on JPA member's

contributions is

wholly unfair. For example, Santa Barbara's previous contributions included agencies such as

Goleta and Carpinteria, which are (or will be) individual members of the JPA. Santa Barbara

should not have to bear the liability for these members, since they too benefited from Black Gold

Membership. To that point, all Black Gold members have equally benefited from the employees

that have worked for it. Therefore, the equitable distribution would be equal apportionment for

all members dividing by the number of years it was a member of the JPA in one form or another

(the aforementioned example of Goleta and Carpinteria).

The City will fulfill its legal obligation as to the pension liability but objects to any

apportionment issued at today's meeting as it is premature, and does not include all JPA

members.

John Doimas
Assistant City Attorney
Santa Barbara City Attorney's Office
P.O. Box 1990
Santa Barbara, California 93102
Dinoct Tol.: (205) 564 5307

Direct Tel.: (805) 564-5397 Main Tel.: (805) 564-5326

Fax: (805) 564-5426

Office Schedule: Monday-Friday 8:00am-5:00pm

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication and its contents or attachments may contain

confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s).

Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws. If

you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the  $\,$ 

communication.



OFFICE OF THE

### **COUNTY COUNSEL**

COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER, ROOM D320 SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93408 TELEPHONE (805) 781-5400 FAX (805) 788-9459 ASSISTANT JON ANSOLABEHERE

CHIEF DEPUTY JENNA MORTON

DEPUTIES
ANN CATHERINE DUGGAN
SHANNON G. MATUSZEWICZ
ERICA STUCKEY
DEBRA K. BARRIGER
BENJAMIN R. DORE
BRIAN J. STACK
NICHOLAS W. QUINCEY
CHELSEA K. KUHNS
DANIEL J. TATICK
DANIEL J. P. SOLISH
VALERIE A. JANIEL

RITA L. NEAL COUNTY COUNSEL

May 27, 2022

### BY EMAIL

Members of the Administrative Council Black Gold Cooperative Library System c/o Glynis Fitzgerald Director of Operations 580 Camino Mercado Arroyo Grande, CA 93420 gfitzgerald@blackgold.org

Re. May 31, 2022, Special Meeting to discuss Pension Liability

Dear Members of the Administrative Council:

I am writing to voice my objections on behalf of the County of San Luis Obispo Public Libraries to the calling of the above-referenced special meeting and to the item to be discussed. I request that this this letter be entered in the administrative record for the meeting scheduled on May 31, 2022.

Initially, I will note that the way this meeting was called may have violated the Ralph M. Brown Act. In Mr. Branch's e-mail, dated May 26, 2022, and addressed to each of the other six members of the Administrative Council, he instructed each member to "indicate your concurrence by email to the Black Gold Operations Manager, with a copy to me, as soon as possible." (See attached.) Under Government Code § 54952.2(b)(1), this type of serial communication among a majority of Council members likely constitutes a violation of the Brown Act. Beyond this potential violation, it is unfortunate that the meeting was scheduled with such haste and without the full support of each member agency.

I would also like to reiterate my concerns about the lack of a legal basis for the proposed action, which is adoption of a resolution to establish a "formula for distributing Black Gold unfunded pension liability debt among JPA members and requiring separate member annual contributions toward repayment plan." As noted in my prior e-mail, Government Code § 20575 does not apply to this action since there is no proposed termination of the agreement with CalPers. Likewise, Government Code § 6508.2 applies only upon termination of the agreement with CalPers. Moreover, under that section the members "shall mutually agree as to the apportionment of the agency's retirement obligations . . ." I am hopeful that, should Tuesday's meeting go forward as

planned, that the Administrative Council will provide further clarification concerning their understanding of the legal basis for the proposed action. Absent a clear legal basis, I would request that the Administrative Council not act.

The agenda for the previously scheduled meeting on this subject excluded former members from the discussion on apportionment of pension liability. Although section 6508.2 is not applicable currently, it requires apportionment among both current and former members. That section applies retroactively to current and former members of an agency that had a contract in existence prior to January 1, 2019. For this reason, too, it is inappropriate to hold discussions on this issue without the inclusion of all current and former members.

As stated previously, the County has every intention of satisfying its full legal obligation as to pension liability. However, we do not believe that the action proposed to be taken at the May 31, 2022, meeting is either legally enforceable or equitable. We request that no action be taken and that a meeting be scheduled in June to revisit this topic. Further, we would ask that the goal of such a meeting be to reach a consensus among all members about how to address pension issues.

Sincerely,

RITA L. NEAL County Counsel

By:

Benjamin Dore

Deputy County Counsel

BD:hc 468hcltr #220549

### Attachments:

5.18.22 Dore e-mail re. County of SLO Concerns with 5.20.22 Admin. Council Agenda 5.26.22- N. Branch re. Special Mtg.

From: <u>Christopher Barnickel</u>

To: Ben Dore

Subject: FW: [EXT]Request for Special Meeting
Date: Thursday, May 26, 2022 11:31:27 AM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

FYI

Christopher Barnickel
Director of Libraries
County of San Luis Obispo Public Libraries
PO BOX 8107
San Luis Obispo, CA 93403
805-781-5785
www.slolibrary.org

**From:** Christopher Barnickel

**Sent:** Thursday, May 26, 2022 11:29 AM

**To:** ned.branch@blanchardlibrary.org; Angelica Fortin <AFortin@prcity.com>; 'Sarah Bleyl' <s\_bleyl@ci.lompoc.ca.us>; 'Allison Gray' <agray@cityofgoleta.org>; 'Dawn Jackson' <djackson@cityofsantamaria.org>; 'Jessica Cadiente' <jcadiente@santabarbaraca.gov>; 'Chris Barnickel' <cbarnickel@slolibrary.org>

Cc: 'Jody Thomas' <jodyt@ci.carpinteria.ca.us>; 'Glynis Fitzgerald' <gfitzgerald@blackgold.org>

Subject: RE: [EXT] Request for Special Meeting

I am unable to attend on May 31. My calendar is full that day; I am happy to meet after CLA.

Chris

Christopher Barnickel
Director of Libraries
County of San Luis Obispo Public Libraries
PO BOX 8107
San Luis Obispo, CA 93403
805-781-5785
www.slolibrary.org

**From:** Ned Branch < ned.branch@blanchardlibrary.org >

**Sent:** Thursday, May 26, 2022 10:49 AM

**To:** Angelica Fortin <a href="mailto:Yes\_bleyl@ci.lompoc.ca.us">AFortin@prcity.com</a>; 'Sarah Bleyl' <s\_bleyl@ci.lompoc.ca.us</a>; 'Allison Gray' <a href="mailto:agray@cityofgoleta.org">agray@cityofgoleta.org</a>; 'Dawn Jackson' <a href="mailto:djackson@cityofsantamaria.org">djackson@cityofsantamaria.org</a>; 'Jessica Cadiente' <a href="mailto:cjackson@cityofsantamaria.org">cjackson@cityofsantamaria.org</a>; 'Jessica Cadient

Cc: 'Jody Thomas' < iodyt@ci.carpinteria.ca.us>; 'Glynis Fitzgerald' < gfitzgerald@blackgold.org>

Subject: [EXT] Request for Special Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or

links.

Fellow Administrative Council Members,

The desirability of holding a special meeting to address the unfunded accrued pension liability was discussed at the recent Administrative Council meeting last Friday, May 20. The Council Chair has declined to call for a special meeting. Article VI, Section 3, of our bylaws states "The Administrative Council may also meet upon call of the Chairperson or upon petition of a majority of the members."

I am petitioning for a special meeting to be held on Tuesday, May 31, at 1:00 p.m. at the Lompoc Public Library. If you wish to join this petition, please indicate your concurrence by email to the Black Gold Operations Manager, with a copy to me, as soon as possible.

Thank you.



Ned Branch
District Director
Blanchard/Santa Paula Library District
805.329.4114 (direct)
805.525.3615 (main)
ned.branch@blanchardlibrary.org



580 Camino Mercado Arroyo Grande, CA 93420 805 543 6082 Blackgold.org

## <u>m e m o r a n d u m</u>

**DATE:** June 13, 2022

TO: Black Gold CLS Administrative Council

FROM: Glynis Fitzgerald, Director of Operations

SUBJECT: Financial Statements – FY 2021-22 – February 28, 2022

The Financial Statements for the eight months ended February 28, 2022 are attached. Notes pertaining to the statements are on page seven. A Supplemental report for Reimbursable Expenditures is presented on page eight. To facilitate discussion, a reference number is included for each line in the far-left column on all pages.

The Final Approved Budget for FY 2021-22 anticipates revenues and expenditures each totaling \$1,769,450 for the entire year, which is a .2% decrease from the previous year.

The actual revenue and equity funding for the eight months was **82.2%** of the budget for the fiscal year, while the combined total of all expenditures for the eight months equaled **\$1,361,134** which represents **76.9%** of the budget.

### BLACK GOLD COOPERATIVE LIBRARY SYSTEM Statement of Revenues and Expenditures For the Eight Months Ended February 28, 2022

	FY 20/21	YEAR TO	<b>BDGT/YTD</b>	BDGT/YTD NOTES
	FINAL	DATE	UNREALIZED	REALIZED %
	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BALANCE	
TOTAL BGCLS				
Revenues & Equity Funding	1,769,450	1,455,196	314,254	82.2%
Expenditures	1,769,450	1,361,134	408,316	76.9%
Surplus/(Deficit)	0	94,063	94,063	•
·				:
<b>Total Reimbursables Invoice</b>	d	0		
Total Reimbursable Expendi	tures	<u> 16,238</u>		
		16,238		
Gold Coast Revenues		100		
Gold Coast Expenses		<u>(1,500)</u>		
		(1,400)		
Wales of the action Back at Ba		0.077		
Value of Libraries Project Re		9,677		
Value of Libraries Project Ex	penses	<u>(9,677)</u>		
		0		
Shared Vision Project Reven	IIE	50,410		
Shared Vision Project Expen		<u>(50,410)</u>		
		0		
Palace Project Grant Revenu	е	568,994		
Palace Project Grant Expens	es	<u>(568,994)</u>		
		0		
Stronger Together Project Re		9,641		
Stronger Together Project Ex	(penses	<u>(9,641)</u>		
		0		
Reserves Used This Year		(108 710)		
reserves used this tear		(108,710)		

190

Fiscal Year Elapsed: 67%

<u>ef</u>		FY 21/22 Final <u>Budget</u>	February 2022 <u>Actual</u>	Year to Date <u>Actual</u>	Bdgt/YTD Unrealized Balance	Bdgt/YTD Realized <u>%</u>
1						
2						
4	REVENUES & EQUITY FUNDING					
5						
6						
7 470		-		10,250	(10,250)	#DIV/0!
410		8,000	1	1,859	6,141	23.2%
9 433	2	40,000	-	6,000	34,000	15.0%
0 433			-	3,000		
1 440		156,370		156,370	=	100.0%
2 450	•	-	59,960	108,710	(108,710)	0.0%
3 432		37,000		178,020	(141,020)	481.1%
4 470	` ,	272,800	4,292	54,587	218,213	20.0%
5 470		34,900	673	21,107	13,793	60.5%
6 440	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	269,245	67,313	201,939	67,306	75.0%
7 430	Member Contributions - Resource	951,135		713,355	237,780	75.0%
8 9	TOTAL FUNDS AVAILABLE	1,769,450	132,239	1,455,196	317,254	82.2%
0		1,705,100	102,209	1,100,100	617,261	02.2 / 0
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
5						
7						
8	EXPENSE SUMMARY					
9 600		575,036	39,434	391,872	183,164	68.1%
0 610		107,686	6,822	73,248	34,438	68.0%
1 640		511,579	13,361	294,910	216,669	57.6%
2 650	j ,	347,630	30,564	230,331	117,299	66.3%
3 670		237,519	19,268	377,634	(140,115)	159.0%
4 680	OO System Grants	-	-	(6,861)	6,861	
5	TOTAL EXPENSES	1,779,450	109,449	1,361,134	418,316	76.5%
			•		<u> </u>	
6						

Fiscal Year Elapsed: 67%

			FY 21/22 Final	February 2022	Year to Date	Bdgt/YTD Unrealized	Bdgt/YTD Realized	N O T E S
Ref	<u>-</u>		<u>Budget</u>	Actual	Actual	Balance	<u>%</u>	<u>s</u>
38		- w w						
39		Expenditure Details						
40								
41		000-All Programs						
42	All Pers							
43	6101	Regular Salaries	422,894	31,821	275,247	147,647	65.1%	
44	6102	Benefits	152,142	7,613	116,625	35,517	76.7%	
45		Total All Programs	575,036	39,434	391,872	183,164	68.1%	
46								
47								
48								
49		-System Administration						
50	5011	Office Expense	3,000	-	790	2,210	26.3%	
51	5012	Service Charge, Bank etc	250	-	71	179	28.2%	
52	5014	Postage & Shipping	400			400	0.0%	
53	5213	Printing	100			100	0.0%	
54	5016	Reimbursement Meeting Expense	500		• 40	500	0.0%	
55	5035	Vehicle Fuel & Maintenance	1,000		240	760	24.0%	
56	5051	Auditors	15,000		12,870	2,130	85.8%	1
57	5054	Payroll Processing Fees	2,000	142	1,226	774	61.3%	
58	5057	Rent	35,865	3,095	24,516	11,349	68.4%	
59	5058	Utilities	4,000	322	2,005	1,995	50.1%	
60	5059	Attorney Fees	15,000	968	11,315	3,685	75.4%	
61	5082	Janitorial Service	2,020	110	1,130	890	55.9%	
62	5115	Travel	-			_	-	
63	6118	Dues & Subscriptions	2,500	-	1,980	520	79.2%	
64	5153	Insurance	20,000	1,591	12,731	7,269	63.7%	
65	6222	Staff Training	-		4 000	-	-	
66	6237	Local Equip Maintenance	-		1,000	-	-	
67	6238	Web Design	300		90	210	30.0%	
68	6239	Consulting /Strategic Planning	-			-	-	
69	6262	Internet Access/Hotspots	1,251	191	1,359	(108)	108.6%	
70	6266	Library Mailers Billed Back	-			-	-	
71	6291	Office Equipment & Maintenance	500			500	0.0%	
72	6292	Software	4,000	232	1,755	2,245	43.9%	
73	6296	CLSAinfo.org	-	171	171 <b>53.24</b> 9	(171)	-	
74		Total System Administration	107,686	6,822	73,248	35,438	68.0%	

Fiscal Year Elapsed: 67%

<u>Ref</u>		FY 21/22 Final Budget	February 2022 <u>Actual</u>	Year to Date <u>Actual</u>	Bdgt/YTD Unrealized Balance	Bdgt/YTD Realized	N O T E S
	0-System Communications and Delivery Service						
77 5045	•	1,000	_	1,872	(872)	187.2%	
78 6241	11	66,475	5,519	46,093	20,382	69.3%	
79 6450	1	350,000	-	184,209	165,791	52.6%	
80 6485		94,104	7,842	62,736	31,368	66.7%	
81	Total Communications and Delivery Ser	511,579	13,361	294,910	216,669	57.6%	
82 83 84			,	,	,		
C1.45	0-Databases/Downloadables 7 eContent	344,630	29,985	225 609	119 022	65.5%	
		344,030	29,983	225,698	118,932	03.3%	
87	OverDrive Zinio						
88 89 <b>614</b> 7		3,000	579	4,633	(1,633)	154.4%	
89 <b>614</b> 90	Cybrarian	3,000	319	4,033	(1,033)	134.470	
91	Luna						
92 6295		_			_		
93 6521	1	_			_		
94	Total Reference Services	347,630	30,564	230,331	117,299	66.3%	
95	•						
96							
97							
	<u>0-ILS</u>						
99 6227		4,485	124	2,670	1,815	59.5%	
100 6236	<u> </u>	138,176	11,147	206,288	(68,112)	149.3%	2
101	Polaris	,	,	,	(, ,		
102	Aspen						
103	Leap						
104	Talking Tech ITIVA						
105	Sonic Wall						
106	Cisco SMARTnet						
107	Weekend Upgrade						
108	Dell ITIVA Maintenance						
109 6516	6 Polaris Add-Ons	52,275	4,386	35,725	16,550	68.3%	
110	Novelist						
111	Quipu						
112	The Content Café						
113	Capira						
114 6240	1	11,317	567	4,539	5,674	40.1%	
6257	System Facility Fee (Downtown						
115	Computer Room)	-			-		
116 6276	•	21,266	1,772	14,521	6,745	68.3%	
117 6293	• 1 1	=			-		
118 6294	* *	-					
119 6294	1 1	10,000	1,272	113,891	(103,891)	1138.9%	3
120 6297		0010	40.000	A	- (4.4.4.5)	4.50.007	
121	Total ILS	237,519	19,268	377,634	(141,218)	159.0%	

Fiscal Year Elapsed: 67%

		1 13041	rear Liapsea.	01 /0				
Ref	-		FY 21/22 Final <u>Budget</u>	February 2022 <u>Actual</u>	Year to Date <u>Actual</u>	Bdgt/YTD Unrealized <u>Balance</u>	Bdgt/YTD Realized <u>%</u>	N O T E S
122	6000 Sweet	em Grants - State						
123	6603	PLSEP Grant Revenue			(10,377)	_		
124 125	6604	PLSEP Grant Expenses		-	3,516	_		
126	0004	Total System Grants	-		(6,861)	_	_	
127		Total System Grants			(0,001)		=	
128				22,790	94,063			
129				22,790	74,003			
130								
131	8100-Valu	<u>ie of Libraries Project</u>						
132	8101	Grant Revenue			(9,677)			
133	8105-8107	Grant Expense			9,677			
134	8110	Mini-grants					_	
135		Net Value of Libraries Project	-	-	-		_	
136							_	
137								
138	8200-Shar	red Vision Project						
139	8201	Grant Revenue		(13,741)	(50,410)			
140	8205-8207	7 Grant Expense		13,741	50,410		_	
141		Net Shared Vision Project	-	-	=		=	
142								
143								
144		<u>ice Project Grant</u>						
145	8201	Grant Revenue		(567,606)	(568,994)			
146	8205-8207	7 Grant Expense		567,606	568,994		_	
147		Net Palace Project Project		-	-		=	
148								
149								
150		onger Together Project		(2.200)	(0.511)			
151	8201	Grant Revenue		(2,388)	(9,641)			
152	8205-8207	7 Grant Expense		2,388	9,641		_	
153		<b>Net Stronger Together Project</b>			-		=	
154								
155	(000 C-1	J. Co. and J. Share and Notice and						
156		Cold Coast Payanus			(100)			
157	4901	Gold Coast Revenues			(100) 1,500			
158	6901	Gold Coast Expenses Net Gold Coast Library Network		<u>-</u>	1,400		_	
159		THE GUIG CUAST LIBIATY INCIWOFK	-		1,400		=	
160								

4 of 4

161 162

# Black Gold Cooperative Library System Statement of Revenues and Expenditures For the Eight Months Ended February 28, 2022 Fiscal Year Elapsed: 67%

otes 1	to the Financial Statements (Explanation for expenditure	variances exc	ceeding 100% of budget to date by 10% and >\$1,000)
	Description	Total Spent Current FY	Explanation for budget variance YTD
1	Auditors	\$ 12,870	\$ 12,870 Audit fees fully paid for the year
2	System Maintenance	\$ 206,288	\$ 108,710 Cost of Aspen and Koha migration paid from reserves
3	Telecom Equipment Maintenance	\$ 113,891	Cost of Prepaid 5-year maintenance on Palo Equipment - the cost is covered by \$ 101,533 Broadband Grant proceeds

THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS APPROVED BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE COUNCIL OF THE BLACK GOLD COOPERATIVE LIBRARY SYSTEM

Jessica Cadiente, Chair, FY 2021-2022

### Black Gold Cooperative Library System Statement of Revenues and Expenditures For the Eight Months Ended February 28, 2022 Fiscal Year Elapsed: 67%

From time to time, Black Gold staff orders supplies and equipment directly for individual libraries and is then reimbursed from the libraries for the cost of those purchases. Those purchases are not budgeted. The expenditures and invoices to the libraries for reimbursement are netted together in the accounts noted here (beginning with "63\_\_"). The balances shown are a result of timing differences between recognition of cost and recognition of reimbursement.

			February 2022 <u>Actual</u>	Year to Date <u>Actual</u>
181	Reimb	oursable Expenditures		
182				
183				
184	Miscel	llaneous Orders		
185	6361	LOM Misc Orders	2,230	(1,424)
186	6362	PR Misc Orders	2,571	(621)
187	6363	SLO Misc Orders	11,412	(6,682)
188	6364	SB Misc Orders	-	13
189	6365	SM Misc Orders	2,589	(1,752)
190	6366	SP Misc Orders	1,356	(707)
191	6367	GOL Misc Orders	6,720	(5,065)
192				
193		Subtotal Misc. Orders	26,878	(16,238)
194				
195		Total Reimbursable Expenditures	26,878	(16,238)
196				
197				
198		<b>Total Reimbursables Invoiced</b>	-	-
199				
200			26,878	(16,238)

# Black Gold Cooperative Library System Balance Sheet February 28, 2021

	G/L	Associat Description	Dabit	Crodit
	Acct. #	Account Description	<u>Debit</u>	<u>Credit</u>
201	1102	Petty Cash	47	
202	1103	Checking WF 0620-028761	110,387	
203	1104	<b>Founders Community Checking</b>	1,544,227	
204	1105	<b>Savings LAIF 16-56-003</b>	926,644	
205	1201	Accounts Receivable - Invoices	94,796	
206	1251	Other Receivables	-	
207	1302	ATS Prepaid Expense	62,181	
208	1303	GEN Prepaid Expense	25,666	
209	1304	Prepaid Insurance	6,989	
210	1305	Prepaid Other	32,282	
211	1501	Fixed Assets	604,208	
212	3502	Accumulated Depreciation		528,895
213	1906	Provisions Vacation Payable	34,508	
214				
215	2104	Accounts Payable		44,927
216	2100-2110	Wages & Benefits Payable		9,501
217	2120-2122	Wells Fargo Credit Card Payable		
218	2199	Accrued Expenses		
219	2204	Deferred Credit Card Revenue		4,798
220	2206	Deferred Grant Revenue - Broadband Grant		22,070
221	2210	Library Gifts (see recap attached)		213
222	2211	Library Deposits (see recap attached)		2,209
223	2208	Deferred Revenue -Shared Vision Grant		152,984
224	2209	Deferred Revenue -Stronger Together Grant		190,359
225	2212	Deferred Revenue -Palace Project Grant		931,006
226	2309	Deferred Comp Withheld		700
227	2602	Longterm Vacation Payable		34,508
228	3002	General Operating Fund (unassigned)		472,169
229	3007	ILS Replacement Fund Committed		445,299
230	3103	<b>Adminstrative Vehicle Fund Committed</b>		28,000
231	3104	<b>Retiree GASB 45 Fund Committed</b>		257,926
232	3105	Library Reserves (see recap attached)		30,548
233	3210	General Reserve for PPD's/Dep.		252,700
234	3300	Gold Coast Library Network Cash		6,387
235	3501	Investment in Fixed Assets		26,547
236		FY 21-22 Operating Results		190
237		rounding		
238		_	3,441,936	3,441,936

### **Black Gold Cooperative Library**

Recap of Balances attributed to Individual Libraries February 28, 2022

### **Library Gifts** (Foundation and Friends of the Library gifts) LOM

\$ SB 4.08

PR  $204.\underline{38} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{(for HotSpots)}$ 

4.65 (for OD purchases)

213.11

### **Library Deposits**

(Balance of Deposits paid by Libraries to Black Gold)

\$ 2,209.12 (for Hoopla)

2,209.12

### **Library Reserves**

(Balance of reserves from the RFID Project)

LOM \$ 18,240.00 PR \$ 4,891.07 SM \$ 13,502.27

Remaining Tag Inventory \$ (6,084.84) BG at Black Gold

\$ 30,548.50

260

261

262

263

April 2022

552 113

25 27

67 1222 1467

896 269

Black Gold Cooperative Library NON-OWNED ITEMS CIRCULATED CIRC LIB ba bc be bm bov gbv gl go gs gu gy lc lm lv ma mb mc mg mm mo oa oc od oe og oh ol om on oo or os ot sp TOTAL ou OV pr prs 4 252 271 27 554 12 16 1 110 1 0 bov gbv 157 26 172 205 go 7 3 2 0 0 0 gy 11 13 ma mb тс 0 0 mm 38 180 13 5 od 14 2 og 22 6 12 10 147 69 om 93 28 on 30 374 210 518 119 49 6 72 468 234 718 395 121 92 13 5 29 6 26 20 194 101 298 ou 42 10 325 75 16 22 prs

> 96 37 0 1

52 179 1517 877 2589

211 3101

1862 574 3

697 20,427

CIRC LIB = Borrowing Library
OWNING LIBRARY = Loaning Library

768 131 301 144 126 7

*sp* TOTAL

# Black Gold Cooperative Library Non-Owned Items Circulated CIRC LIB

### Calculated from April 2022 Statistics

		Books Borrowed	Books Lent	% of Books Borrowed	% of Books Lent	Net Lent less Borrowed
Santa Barbara Main	ba	46	144	1.00%	3.14%	98
Santa Barbara Carpinteria	bc	306	126	6.67%	2.75%	(180)
Santa Barbara Eastside	be	1	7	0.02%	0.15%	6
Santa Barbara Montecito	bm	6	6	0.13%	0.13%	0
Santa Barbara Outreach Van	bov	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
		359	283	7.82%	6.17%	(76)
						(1-7)
Goleta Book Van	gbv	39	0	0.85%	0.00%	(39)
Goleta Los Olivos	gl	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
Goleta Valley Library	go	1385	571	30.19%	12.45%	(814)
Goleta Solvang	gs	292	77	6.36%	1.68%	(215)
Goleta Buellton	gu	184	149	4.01%	3.25%	(35)
Goleta Santa Ynez	gy	0	1	0.00%	0.02%	1
Goleta Garita Triez	93	1900	798	40.56%	17.39%	(1,102)
		1000	700	10.0070	11.0070	(1,102)
Lompoc Charlotte's Web	lc	1	0	0.02%	0.00%	(1)
Lompoc Main	lm	450	491	9.81%	10.70%	41
Lompoc Village	lv	139	78	3.03%	1.70%	(61)
		590	569	12.86%	12.40%	(21)
Santa Maria Los Alamos	ma	11	19	0.24%	0.41%	8
Santa Maria Bookmobile	mb	0	3	0.00%	0.07%	3
Santa Maria Cuyama	mc	17	13	0.37%	0.28%	(4)
Santa Maria Guadalupe	mg	7	33	0.15%	0.72%	26
Santa Maria Main	mm	611	1,042	13.32%	22.71%	431
Santa Maria Orcutt	mo	204	247	4.45%	5.38%	43
		850	1,357	18.53%	29.58%	507
SLO Atascadero	oa	22	38	0.48%	0.83%	16
SLO Cambria	ос	3	10	0.07%	0.22%	7
SLO Admin Office	od	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO Oceano	oe	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO San Miguel	og	0	1	0.00%	0.02%	1
SLO Shandon	oh	1	0	0.02%	0.00%	(1)
SLO Shell Beach	ol	0	1	0.00%	0.02%	1
SLO Morro Bay	om	7	10	0.15%	0.22%	3
SLO Nipomo	on	3	3	0.07%	0.07%	0
SLO Main	00	34	44	0.74%	0.96%	10
SLO Santa Margarita	or	0	3	0.00%	0.07%	3
SLO Arroyo Grande	os	21	42	0.46%	0.92%	21
SLO Creston	ot	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO Creston SLO Cayucos		2	4	0.04%	0.00%	2
SLO Cayucos SLO Los Osos	ou	6	18			12
310 105 0505	oy	99	174	0.13% 2.16%	0.39% 3.79%	75
		00		2.1070	0.70	70
Paso Robles	pr	595	704	12.97%	15.34%	109
Paso Robles Study Center	ps	0	6	0.00%	0.13%	6
•	•	595	710	12.97%	15.48%	115
Santa Paula	sp	195	697	4.25%	15.19%	502
Net of interbranch		4,588	4,588	59%	82%	0

### Black Gold Cooperative Library SUMMARY OF NON-OWNED ITEMS CIRCULATED Net (Borrowed)/Lent based on Monthly Circ Reports May 2021 - April 2022

		May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22	Apr-22	Total
Santa Barbara Main	ba	2	2	(411)	(217)	(286)	(442)	(263)	63	(289)	34	383	98	(1,326)
Santa Barbara Carpinteria	bc	0	(1)	(181)	(292)	(339)	(417)	(318)	(256)	(424)	(182)	(131)	(180)	(2,721)
Santa Barbara Eastside	be	(1)	0	62	77	51	20	49	49	31	49	48	6	441
Santa Barbara Montecito	bm	0	0	(113)	(289)	(285)	(293)	(289)	(169)	(427)	(158)	7	0	(2,016)
Santa Barbara Outreach Van	bov	0	0	1	0	0	(3)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(4)	0	0	(10)
		1	1	(642)	(721)	(859)	(1,135)	(823)	(314)	(1,110)	(261)	307	(76)	(5,632)
Goleta Book Van	gbv	0	0	0	0	1	(9)	(19)	(29)	(62)	(54)	(58)	(39)	(269)
Goleta Los Olivos	gl	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Goleta Valley Library	go	5	5	(109)	(802)	(1,107)	(870)	(1,021)	(997)	(1,382)	(1,571)	(1,137)	(814)	(9,800)
Goleta Solvang	gs	(6)	0	(174)	(138)	(250)	(242)	(214)	(223)	(277)	(258)	(326)	(215)	(2,323)
Goleta Buellton	gu	0	1	158	121	133	195	192	83	1	40	(28)	(35)	861
Goleta Santa Ynez	gy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	1	2
		(1)	6	(125)	(819)	(1,223)	(926)	(1,062)	(1,166)	(1,720)	(1,843)	(1,548)	(1,102)	(11,529)
Lompoc Charlotte's Web	lc	0	0	0	(3)	(1)	(1)	0	(2)	0	2	(1)	(1)	(7)
Lompoc Main	lm	1	1	88	35	253	235	127	337	111	98	101	41	1,428
Lompoc Village	lv	0	0	75	(59)	(44)	(41)	(94)	(21)	(31)	(22)	5	(61)	(293)
		1	1	163	(27)	208	193	33	314	80	78	105	(21)	1,128
Santa Maria Los Alamos	ma	0	0	57	62	43	55	34	39	38	27	4	8	367
Santa Maria Bookmobile	mb	0	0	(15)	(41)	(43)	(46)	(10)	(22)	(18)	(7)	0	3	(199)
Santa Maria Cuyama	mc	0	0	(3)	25	18	14	(7)	15	17	3	(12)	(4)	66
Santa Maria Guadalupe	mg	1	0	56	55	1	56	57	58	58	41	(7)	26	402
Santa Maria Main	mm	6	1	1,995	2,515	2,600	2,523	2,135	1,804	2,405	1,559	408	431	18,382
Santa Maria Orcutt	mo	(1)	(8)	248	344	448	330	314	244	322	246	(10)	43	2,520
		6	(7)	2,338	2,960	3,067	2,932	2,523	2,138	2,822	1,869	383	507	21,538
SLO Atascadero	oa	(128)	(134)	(351)	(650)	(718)	(804)	(557)	(456)	(268)	(145)	101	16	(4,094)
SLO Cambria	OC	(42)	(96)	(268)	(225)	(230)	(235)	(190)	(247)	(202)	(86)	16	7	(1,798)
SLO Admin Office	od	(4)	(5)	(13)	(12)	(16)	(16)	(14)	(11)	(26)	(20)	(3)	0	(140)
SLO Oceano	oe	2	2	(5)	(10)	(9)	(14)	(18)	(1)	10	(6)	0	0	(49)
SLO San Miguel	og	(2)	1	(2)	(10)	(26)	(31)	(23)	(39)	(7)	4	(1)	1	(135)
SLO Shandon	oh	2	0	(28)	(42)	(82)	(80)	(70)	(53)	(54)	(35)	0	(1)	(443)
SLO Shell Beach	ol	4	5	(33)	(65)	(57)	(64)	(39)	(49)	(45)	(27)	(1)	1	(370)
SLO Morro Bay	om	(329)	(222)	(450)	(440)	(393)	(394)	(397)	(341)	(304)	(133)	20	3	(3,380)
SLO Nipomo	on	(28)	(44)	(201)	(258)	(213)	(217)	(227)	(168)	(119)	(55)	19	0	(1,511)
SLO Main	00	(304)	(223)	(529)	(448)	(541)	(637)	(352)	(470)	(192)	(191)	157	10	(3,720)
SLO Santa Margarita	or	3	5	(31)	(52)	(46)	(47)	(30)	(17)	(39)	(24)	2	3	(273)
SLO Arroyo Grande	os	(419)	(358)	(1,231)	(1,103)	(884)	(876)	(711)	(658)	(525)	(140)	140	21	(6,744)
SLO Creston	ot	6	2	(51)	(90)	(116)	(82)	(54)	(58)	(59)	(27)	5	0	(524)
SLO Cayucos	ou	4	6	(16)	(24)	(13)	(20)	(7)	(25)	(39)	(2)	1	2	(133)
SLO Los Osos	oy	(118)	(222)	(561)	(688)	(745)	(901)	(751)	(620)	(688)	(348)	8	12	(5,622)
		(1,353)	(1,283)	(3,770)	(4,117)	(4,089)	(4,418)	(3,440)	(3,213)	(2,557)	(1,235)	464	75	(28,936)
Paso Robles	pr	1,308	1,247	902	886	999	1,294	1,033	864	845	240	(336)	109	9,391
Paso Robles Study Center	ps	38	35	17	26	20	27	21	20	14	18	18	6	260
•	-	1,346	1,282	919	912	1,019	1,321	1,054	884	859	258	(318)	115	9,651
Santa Paula	sp	0	0	1,117	1,812	1,877	2,033	1,715	1,357	1,626	1,134	607	502	13,780
Net of interbranch		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			<u> </u>		•	•						<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

May 2022

Black Gold Cooperative Library

ON-OW	NED IT	EMS (	CIRCUI	ATED	1																																OWNIN											
IRC LIB	ba	bc	be	bm	bov		gbv	gl	g	go 💮	gs	gu	gy		lc	lm	lv		ma	mb	mc	mg	mn	n m	0		oa (	oc o	d oe	9 00	) (	oh o	ol o	om	on	00	or	os	ot	ou	oy		pr	prs	T	sp	TOTAL B	3orrowe
а						(	0																																			T	T		T	1	0	
С						(	0		0	77	7	15	0		0	29	9 4	ı	4	C	) (	)	1 5	54	7																		39	4	0	81	1 318	318
e						(	0																																			1		1	1		0	1
m						(	0																																			1		1	1		0	
ov							0																																			1		1	1		0	
	0	C	0	0	) (	)																																								_	7	
bv		C	)						0	41	3	11	0	55		7	7 3	3	1	1	1 (	)	2 1	6	4			_														_	3	3	0	- /	4 101	4
I			)					0		0	0	0	0	0			) (	)	0		) (		0	0	0			_	1											1	1	$\neg$	- 0	)	0		0 0	
0		C	)					4	3		172	184	1	364		317	63	3	42	. 3	3 15	3	2 41	9	97			_	1											1	1	$\neg$	282	,	1	457	7 2092	172
s		1						0	1	166		24		194		60		3	1	2	) (	1			45			_	1											1	1	$\neg$	45		0	95		34
u			)					0		108	29		1	138		30		3	0		) .		6 6	_	12			_													1	+	67		0	74		26
v			)					0	0	0	0	0		0		- 0		)	0		) (	1	0	0	0			_	_									1		1	1	+	0.		0			
			1					4	4	315	204	210	5	V			<del>`</del>	1	Ŭ		<u> </u>	1	_	<u> </u>	Ť			_	_									1		1	1	+	+	+	+	+	á ř	
;			)		1		1	+	+	0	0	0	n				) (	) 0	0	(	) (		n	0	0	-		+	+	_	+	-	_	-+	-			<del>                                     </del>	1	1 -	1	+-	0	+	0	+	0 0	
n	$\vdash$	0	_	<b>—</b>	+-	1	+	+	+	87	15	22	_	_	0		73	, ,	4	1	1 7	+	8 16	ia .	21	-+	-+	+	+		+		-	-+	-+			<del>                                     </del>	+	+-	+	+-	83	_	<del>11</del>	150		56
,		0			1			1		37	3	9			0	35		35	3		1		3 2		7		_	+	-	-	_		_	_				1	+	+	+	+-	14		+	18		12
	1		<del>'</del>		1	+		-	+-	31	-	3	- 0		0	35	77	30			, .	+	2				-	_	+-	_	_	_		-				<del>                                     </del>	-	+	+	+-	+ 14	4	4	+	3 130	12
10		-			1	+	+	+	_	- 5	0	- 1	0		U	30	, ,,	100000000000000000000000000000000000000				+	1 1	4	7	22	-	+	+-	_	+	-	-	-	-			-	+	+-	+	+-		_	0	+	4 42	2
<u>1a</u>		- 0			1	+	+	+	_	2	4	-	0				-	+	2			+	4	6	4	40	-	+	+-	_	+	-	-	-	-			-	+	+-	+	+-	+ 5	+-	-	+	0 19	4
1b	1		,		-	+		-	_	2	- 1	0	0				)	+	2		,	1	1	0	0	10	-	-		_	_	_	_	-				-	-	+	+	+-	+ - 0	<del>\                                    </del>	<u>,                                     </u>	+		
ıc					1	-	_	+	_	6	0	3	0					+	0		)			8	0	10	_	_	-	_	_	_	_					<u> </u>	-	+	+	+	<del> </del>		0		0 18	
ng		U			1	-	_	+	_	_	7	- 2	0					+	0		,		000		2	20	_	_	-	_	_	_	_					<u> </u>	-	+	+	+	10	_	•	<del></del>	3 45	
nm		C	_		1	-	_	+		167	37	43	0			122		1	21					24	89 2	588	_	_	-	_	_	_	_					<u> </u>	-	+	+	+	194		4	175		75
10	_	2	<u> </u>			_				10	4	4	0			4	1 1	4	2	_	_	_	2 5	8		ьь		_		_	_							-				+	6	'	0	11	1 108	4
	_					_											4	-	25	31	1 16	4	0 10	15 24	99			_		_	_							-				+						
а						1			_	_							1						4	_	_				_		_	_								4	4	—					_ 0	
c	_					_											4	-				<u> </u>			_		88			_	_							-				+					- 0	
d						1			_	_							1						4	_	_						_	_								4	4	—					_ 0	
e																															_											$\bot$					0	
g																																										$\bot$					0	
h																																															0	
<u> </u>																																															0	
m																																															0	
n																					1																			1	1						0	
0																																															0	
r																																															0	
s																																															0	
t																																															0	
u																																										T	T		T	1	0	
У																																												1			0	
																																												T	T		7	
r		C	)							102	28	26				71	1 15	5	9		- (	i	3 20	)7	43																	T		1	0 10	115	5 635	62
rs		C	)							0	0	0				2	_	)	0		- (	)	0	0	0																1		r			0 (	0 2	-
		Ì	1		1		1	1		Ť							T		Ť				1		Ť					1	1								1	1	1	1	r	) 10	0	i i	7 -	
p		r	)		1		1	1		66	6	19				24	1 6	3			1		2 5	3	10					1	1								1	1	1	1	35	5	0		222	22
OTAL	0	3	3 0	0	) (	,	•	4	4 :		306	363			. 0	715			89	38	3 48						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 (	,	0	0	790		7	1187		510
ent		3						0							0		128				32							0		0		0		o	0	0			0 (			0	790		7	1187		- 10

CIRC LIB = Borrowing Library
OWNING LIBRARY = Loaning Library

## Black Gold Cooperative Library Non-Owned Items Circulated CIRC LIB Calculated from May 2022 Statistics

		Books Borrowed	Books Lent	% of Books Borrowed	% of Books Lent	Net Lent less Borrowed
Santa Barbara Main	ba	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
Santa Barbara Carpinteria	bc	318	3	6.24%	0.06%	(315)
Santa Barbara Eastside	be	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
Santa Barbara Montecito	bm	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
Santa Barbara Outreach Van	bov	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
		318	3	6.24%	0.06%	(315)
Goleta Book Van	gbv	46	0	0.90%	0.00%	(46)
Goleta Los Olivos	gl	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
Goleta Valley Library	go	1728	562	33.88%	11.02%	(1,166)
Goleta Solvang	gs	342	102	6.71%	2.00%	(240)
Goleta Buellton	gu	265	144	5.20%	2.82%	(121)
Goleta Santa Ynez	gy	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
		2381	808	45.78%	15.84%	(1,573)
Lompoc Charlotte's Web	lc	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
Lompoc Main	lm	566	680	11.10%	13.33%	114
Lompoc Village	lv	123	128	2.41%	2.51%	5
		689	808	13.51%	15.84%	119
Santa Maria Los Alamos	ma	20	64	0.39%	1.25%	44
Santa Maria Bookmobile	mb	9	7	0.18%	0.14%	(2)
Santa Maria Cuyama	mc	8	32	0.16%	0.63%	24
Santa Maria Guadalupe	mg	25	67	0.49%	1.31%	42
Santa Maria Main	mm	759	1,081	14.88%	21.20%	322
Santa Maria Orcutt	mo	42	246	0.82%	4.82%	204
		863	1,497	16.92%	29.35%	634
SLO Atascadero	oa	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO Cambria	ОС	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO Admin Office	od	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO Oceano	oe	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO San Miguel	og	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO Shandon	oh	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO Shell Beach	ol	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO Morro Bay	om	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO Nipomo	on	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO Main	00	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO Santa Margarita	or	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO Arroyo Grande	os	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO Creston	ot	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO Cayucos	ou	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
SLO Los Osos	oy	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
		0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0
Paso Robles	pr	625	790	12.25%	15.49%	165
Paso Robles Study Center	ps	2	7	0.04%	0.14%	5
		627	797	12.29%	15.63%	170
Santa Paula	sp	222	1,187	4.35%	23.27%	965
Net of interbranch		5,100	5,100	53%	84%	0

### Black Gold Cooperative Library SUMMARY OF NON-OWNED ITEMS CIRCULATED Net (Borrowed)/Lent based on Monthly Circ Reports June 2021 - May 2022

		Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22	Apr-22	May-22	Total
Santa Barbara Main	ba	2	(411)	(217)	(286)	(442)	(263)	63	(289)	34	383	98	0	(1,328)
Santa Barbara Carpinteria	bc	(1)	(181)	(292)	(339)	(417)	(318)	(256)	(424)	(182)	(131)	(180)	(315)	(3,036)
Santa Barbara Eastside	be	0	62	77	51	20	49	49	31	49	48	6	0	442
Santa Barbara Montecito	bm	0	(113)	(289)	(285)	(293)	(289)	(169)	(427)	(158)	7	0	0	(2,016)
Santa Barbara Outreach Van	bov	0	(0.40)	(704)	(050)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(4)	0	(76)	(315)	(10)
		1	(642)	(721)	(859)	(1,135)	(823)	(314)	(1,110)	(261)	307	(76)	(315)	(5,948)
Goleta Book Van	gbv	0	0	0	1	(9)	(19)	(29)	(62)	(54)	(58)	(39)	(46)	(315)
Goleta Los Olivos	gl	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Goleta Valley Library	go	5	(109)	(802)	(1,107)	(870)	(1,021)	(997)	(1,382)	(1,571)	(1,137)	(814)	(1,166)	(10,971)
Goleta Solvang	gs	0	(174)	(138)	(250)	(242)	(214)	(223)	(277)	(258)	(326)	(215)	(240)	(2,557)
Goleta Buellton	gu	1	158	121	133	195	192	83	1	40	(28)	(35)	(121)	740
Goleta Santa Ynez	gy		0	0	0 (4.000)	0	0 (4.000)	0	0	0	1 (4.540)	(4.400)	0	2
		б	(125)	(819)	(1,223)	(926)	(1,062)	(1,166)	(1,720)	(1,843)	(1,548)	(1,102)	(1,573)	(13,101)
Lompoc Charlotte's Web	lc	0	0	(3)	(1)	(1)	0	(2)	0	2	(1)	(1)	0	(7)
Lompoc Main	lm	1	88	35	253	235	127	337	111	98	101	41	114	1,541
Lompoc Village	lv	0	75	(59)	(44)	(41)	(94)	(21)	(31)	(22)	5	(61)	5	(288)
		1	163	(27)	208	193	33	314	80	78	105	(21)	119	1,246
Santa Maria Los Alamos	ma	0	57	62	43	55	34	39	38	27	4	8	44	411
Santa Maria Bookmobile	mb	0	(15)	(41)	(43)	(46)	(10)	(22)	(18)	(7)	0	3	(2)	(201)
Santa Maria Cuyama	mc	0	(3)	25	18	14	(7)	15	17	3	(12)	(4)	24	90
Santa Maria Guadalupe	mg	0	56	55	1	56	57	58	58	41	(7)	26	42	443
Santa Maria Main	mm	1	1,995	2,515	2,600	2,523	2,135	1,804	2,405	1,559	408	431	322	18,698
Santa Maria Orcutt	mo	(8)	248	344	448	330	314	244	322	246	(10)	43	204	2,725
		(7)	2,338	2,960	3,067	2,932	2,523	2,138	2,822	1,869	383	507	634	22,166
SLO Atascadero	oa	(134)	(351)	(650)	(718)	(804)	(557)	(456)	(268)	(145)	101	16	0	(3,966)
SLO Cambria	ос	(96)	(268)	(225)	(230)	(235)	(190)	(247)	(202)	(86)	16	7	0	(1,756)
SLO Admin Office	od	(5)	(13)	(12)	(16)	(16)	(14)	(11)	(26)	(20)	(3)	0	0	(136)
SLO Oceano	oe	2	(5)	(10)	(9)	(14)	(18)	(1)	10	(6)	0	0	0	(51)
SLO San Miguel	og	1	(2)	(10)	(26)	(31)	(23)	(39)	(7)	4	(1)	1	0	(133)
SLO Shandon	oh	0	(28)	(42)	(82)	(80)	(70)	(53)	(54)	(35)	0	(1)	0	(445)
SLO Shell Beach	ol	5	(33)	(65)	(57)	(64)	(39)	(49)	(45)	(27)	(1)	1	0	(374)
SLO Morro Bay	om	(222)	(450)	(440)	(393)	(394)	(397)	(341)	(304)	(133)	20	3	0	(3,051)
SLO Nipomo	on	(44)	(201)	(258)	(213)	(217)	(227)	(168)	(119)	(55)	19	0	0	(1,483)
SLO Main	00	(223)	(529)	(448)	(541)	(637)	(352)	(470)	(192)	(191)	157	10	0	(3,416)
SLO Santa Margarita	or	5	(31)	(52)	(46)	(47)	(30)	(17)	(39)	(24)	2	3	0	(276)
SLO Arroyo Grande	os	(358)	(1,231)	(1,103)	(884)	(876)	(711)	(658)	(525)	(140)	140	21	0	(6,325)
SLO Creston	ot	2	(51)	(90)	(116)	(82)	(54)	(58)	(59)	(27)	5	0	0	(530)
SLO Cayucos	ou	6	(16)	(24)	(13)	(20)	(7)	(25)	(39)	(2)	1	2	0	(137)
SLO Los Osos	oy	(222)	(561)	(688)	(745)	(901)	(751)	(620)	(688)	(348)	8	12	0	(5,504)
		(1,283)	(3,770)	(4,117)	(4,089)	(4,418)	(3,440)	(3,213)	(2,557)	(1,235)	464	75	0	(27,583)
Paso Robles	pr	1,247	902	886	999	1,294	1,033	864	845	240	(336)	109	165	8,248
Paso Robles Study Center	ps	35	17	26	20	27	21	20	14	18	18	6	5	227
		1,282	919	912	1,019	1,321	1,054	884	859	258	(318)	115	170	8,475
Santa Paula	sp	0	1,117	1,812	1,877	2,033	1,715	1,357	1,626	1,134	607	502	965	14,745
Net of interbranch		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

MEETING DATE:	June 17, 2022	ESTIMATED TIME FOR ITEM:	10 minutes
TITLE OF ITEM:	Palace Project (E-books for All)	PRIORITY LEVEL: (1-Low 3 – High)	□ 1 ⊠ 2 □ 3
SUBMITTED BY:	County of San Luis Obispo Public Libraries and	Santa Barbara Public Lil	orary
TYPE OF ITEM:	☐ For Discussion ☒ For Decision/Request Mo		
	BACKGROUND ST	ATEMENT	
BACKGROUND:  Describe why you  are bringing this  item before council.  What problem are  you trying to solve?  List information that  would be helpful for  decision making  such as committee  recommendations,  pros/cons.	On 02/18/22, the County of San Luis Obispo P that OverDrive content be split according to the That request was tabled. Because much of the was purchased as a result of contributions fro Santa Barbara Public Library along with funding communication and delivery funds during the equitable and aligned with the State Librariant BG's platform to the Palace Project.  At the 04/15/22 Administrative Council meeting was directed to look into what items were eliging 1, 2022 they have permission to distribute Over	he funding contribution of the content currently on the moth the County of Sang from CLSA (Council mapped pandemic for more digitally is vision to grow the colling this item was submitting this item was submitting the for transfer. The St	of the respective jurisdictions. The Black Gold OverDrive platform In Luis Obispo Public Libraries and ade a decision to reallocate tal content), we feel it is more ection by shifting content from the for review. Glynis Fitzgerald ate announced that effective June
	OUTCOME	(S)	
<b>DESIRED OUTCOME(S):</b> Describe your desired outcome(s)	More e-content will be available for all centra the number of items available on the platform members.	•	
OTHER COMMENTS:			

MEETING DATE:	06.17.22	ESTIMATED TIME FOR ITEM:	20 minutes							
TITLE OF ITEM:	Pension Liability	PRIORITY LEVEL: (1-Low 3 – High)	□ 1 □ 2 ⊠ 3							
SUBMITTED BY:	Santa Barbara Public Library & San Luis County Libraries									
TYPE OF ITEM:	☑ For Discussion ☐ For Decision/Request M									
	BACKGROUND ST									
BACKGROUND:  Describe why you are bringing this item before council.  What problem are you trying to solve? List information that would be helpful for decision making such as committee recommendations, pros/cons.	With the withdrawal of Santa Barbara and Sar responsibility for unfunded pension liability had Watts, an actuarial firm, to provide calculation termination liability. Based on MacLeod Watts and the termination liability is \$3.65 million deproposed applying the current funding formula agencies that were members as of January 1, paid down. Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispolegal basis and was inequitable. Both Santa Barbara barbara and San Luis Obispolegal basis and was inequitable. Both Santa Barbara of former Black Gold members, who they believe apportionment of pension liability per Govern resolution based on its proposal was denied for Continue the discussion on apportionment of of Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo. The distinct the County of Ventura and the city of Thousar jurisdiction has taken or will take to secure the parties may also discuss and reach a consensuthere are outstanding legal issues that could be extent of each members' current liability, and with CalPERS be terminated.	as been raised. Black Golons for the current unfuncts' calculations, the current ollars. In a special meeting late to the current unfunder 2019, on an annual basis of objected to this proposorbara and San Luis Obispeve should be included in ament Code § 6508.2. San ollowing a 2-4 vote.  pension liability in light of cussion should address the doaks. The discussion should address the authority to resolve the content of the resolved in terms of the content of the custom and equitable method of the custom of the	d contracted with MacLeod ded liability and the potential nt unfunded liability is \$738,472 ng on May 31, 2022, Santa Paula ed liability and invoicing member a until the unfunded liability was all on the grounds that it lacked a po also objected to the exclusion in any discussion on inta Paula's motion to approve a possible of the withdrawal from Black Gold the inclusion of former members, should also include the steps each the pension liability issue. The pod of apportionment. In addition, the inclusion of prior members, the							
		OUTCOME(S)								
<b>DESIRED OUTCOME(S):</b> Describe your desired outcome(s)	Engage in a discussion concerning apportionm outstanding issues identified in the "Backgrou		nd attempt to resolve the							
OTHER COMMENTS:										

MEETING DATE:	June 17, 2022	ESTIMATED TIME FOR ITEM:	15 minutes
TITLE OF ITEM:	A Resolution for Apportioning the Unfunded Accrued Liability Among Black Gold Members	PRIORITY LEVEL: (1-Low 3 – High)	□ 1 □ 2 ⊠ 3
SUBMITTED BY:	Santa Paula		
TYPE OF ITEM:	☐ For Discussion ☒ For Decision/Request M		
BACKGROUND: Describe why you are bringing this item before council. What problem are you trying to solve? List information that would be helpful for decision making such as committee recommendations, pros/cons.	As of June 30, 2020, the Unfunded Accrued Li approximately \$738,472. The UAL obligation of and San Luis Obispo were members of Black (6508.1(a)), which states "If the agency is not of entity, commission, or board constituted pure obligations of the agency shall be debts, liabil unless the agreement specifies otherwise. Ho otherwise with respect to the retirement liab retirement system (emphasis added)," both sobligation to pay their respective shares of an arise in the event of a termination of the conton. At the February Admin Council meeting, Santa UAL issue with their respective governing bodissue. To date, neither entity or its governing has promised to present a proposal at the June.	ability (UAL) for Black Gowas incurred during the toold, and in accordance was incurred of the parties of the parties of the agreement, sities, and obligations of towever, the parties to the collities of the agency if the collities of the agency if the collities of the agency if the agency if the collities of the agency if the agency if the collities of the agency if the collities of the agency if the collities of the agency if the agency is a collities of the	time period when Santa Barbara with Government Code section is to the agreement but is a public the debts, liabilities, and the parties to the agreement, agreement may not agree the agency contracts with a public this Obispo have a continuing ded Termination Liability that may and CalPERS.  bispo were asked to address the to arrive at a resolution of the
<b>DESIRED OUTCOME(S):</b> Describe your desired outcome(s)	If there is not an alternative acceptable propo		resolution.
OTHER COMMENTS:			

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING A FORMULA FOR DISTRIBUTING BLACK GOLD UNFUNDED PENSION LIABILITY DEBT AMONG JPA MEMBERS AND REQUIRING SEPARATE MEMBER ANNUAL CONTRIBUTIONS TOWARD REPAYMENT PLAN

### BE IT RESOLVED AND DETERMINED AS FOLLOWS:

WHEREAS, Black Gold Cooperative Library System joint powers agency ("Black Gold") has incurred unfunded pension liability ("UAL") with CalPERS estimated to be approximately \$738,472 as of June 30, 2020, which amount is adjusted annually by CalPERS;

WHEREAS, beginning in FY16/17 Black Gold began assessing each of its members a share of the amount of the annual billing from CalPERS for the Unfunded Accrued Liability. Each members' share was based on the annual prevailing contribution formula, and was charged in addition to but as part of the annual invoice Black Gold sends to each member for each member's share of the costs of operating Black Gold;

WHEREAS, in light of Government Code sections 6508.1 and 6508.2, the members of Black Gold believe that it is timely and appropriate to (1) formally establish the formula for distributing the UAL debt among members when that debt becomes due and payable to CalPERS; and (2) establish a separate Black Gold invoicing process for gradually paying down that UAL debt.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE MEMBERS OF BLACK GOLD DO RESOLVE AND DETERMINE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Annually, by no later than April 1 of each calendar year, the Executive Director, or designee, based on the information obtained from CalPERS, shall prepare for delivery to each entity which effective January 1, 2019, was a member of Black Gold, a separate invoice for that entity's share of Black Gold's annual sum used to pay down its UAL debt to CalPERS. Each entity receiving that invoice shall make full payment thereon, to Black Gold, within 30 days. In the event that past Black Gold members who exited the JPA prior to January 1, 2019 can be held liable and if it becomes feasible to include them in the distribution of UAL, then the distribution described in Section 3 will be recalculated.

**Section 2.** Upon receipt of such payments Black Gold shall hold such payments in trust, in an interest-bearing account, for and on behalf of its members, said moneys to be used exclusively to satisfy Black Gold's UAL debt to CalPERS at the appropriate time.

Section 3. Henceforth, and unless and until modified by further resolution of the Administrative Council, said annual payment shall be distributed among and invoiced to all members of Black Gold as of January 1, 2019. Distribution of the UAL among the members shall be determined by the same formula used for the last 3 years (FY19/20-FY21/22) but weighted for the number of years the entity has been an active member of the JPA while Black Gold was a member of CalPERS. Black Gold's CalPERS membership began December 29, 1985. Once this allocation is determined and established, each member's share of the current UAL will remain the same until the UAL is \$0.

**Commented [GF2]:** I will have to look into the feasibility of this date. Don't think that we have a final number yet fo this

Section 4. In the event of termination of Black Gold's contract with CalPERS, the cost of Black Gold's repayment of its remaining Unfunded Termination Liability debt shall be distributed among the entities which were members of Black Gold effective January 1, 2019, based on the formula described in section 3. In the event that past Black Gold members who exited the JPA prior to January 1, 2019 can be held liable and if it becomes feasible to include them in the distribution of Unfunded Termination Liability, then the distribution will be recalculated.

ENACTED BY ROLL CALL VOTE TAKEN AT THE MEETING OF DATE, 2022.
AYES AND IN FAVOR:,,,,,
NAYES AND AGAINST:,
Attested by the Director of Operations, this day of May, 2022.
Glynis Fitzgerald

### **BLACK GOLD COOPERATIVE LIBRARY SYSTEM**

### Final Budget FY 2022-2023

NO SLO & NO SB

### **BUDGET SUMMARY**

Final Budget FY 2022-2023					
				 evious Fiscal ear's Budget	Percent Change From FY
TOTAL BGCLS	CLSA	LOCAL	TOTAL	Amount	2021-2022
REVENUES	\$138,757	983,368	\$ 1,122,125	\$ 1,769,450	-36.6%
EXPENDITURES	\$138,757	983,368	\$ 1,122,125	\$ 1,769,450	-36.6%
ANTICIPATED SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	\$ - \$	-	\$ -	\$ -	0.0%

Final Budget FY 2021-2022						
				_	evious Fiscal	Percent Change From FY
TOTAL BGCLS	<u>CLSA</u>	<b>LOCAL</b>	<u>TOTAL</u>		<u>Amount</u>	<u>2020-2021</u>
REVENUES	\$ 156,370	\$ 1,613,080	\$ 1,769,450	\$	1,772,885	-0.2%
EXPENDITURES	\$ 156,370	\$ 1,613,080	\$ 1,769,450	\$	1,772,885	-0.2%
ANTICIPATED SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	0.0%

### **BLACK GOLD COOPERATIVE LIBRARY SYSTEM** Final Budget FY 2022-2023 NO SLO and NO SB

		CLSA	LOCAL	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>Library Contribution</u>	TOTAL	Variance from Prior Year
Anticip	ated Revenues & Equity Funding						
4705	Miscellaneous Revenue		0	0		0	0
4101	Interest Earnings		3,000	3,000		8,000	(5,000)
4402	CLSA - Commun. & Deliv.	138,757		138,757		156,370	(17,613)
	(amount allocated to e-content)	(29,445)		(29,445)		(75,385)	45,940
	(amount allocated to ILS)			0			
	amount allocated to delivery			0			
	State Grant Project Parner Administration Proceeds		12,503	12,503		40,000	(27,497)
	Broadband Grant Proceeds		0			37,000	(37,000)
4707	California Teleconnect Fund - non-Cenic		90	90		6,900	(6,810)
4707	California Teleconnect Fund - Cenic		45,000	45,000		28,000	17,000
4706	Erate Refunds - non-Cenic		630	630		37,800	(37,170)
4706	Erate Refunds - Cenic		250,000	250,000		235,000	15,000
	Shared e Content Contribution	29,445	68,585	98,030	68,585	344,630	(246,600)
	Base Contribution		120,712	120,712	120,712	190,227	(69,515)
	Resource Contribution		482,848	482,848	482,848	760,908	(278,059)
		*·	4	**	4	4	4
	TOTAL ANTICIPATED FUNDING	\$138,757	\$983,368	\$1,122,125	\$672,145	\$1,769,450	-\$647,324
				\$1,122,125		\$1,769,450	
BUDGE	TED EXPENDITURES SUMMARY						
6000	All Programs	\$0	\$520,422	\$520,422		\$575,035	-\$54,613
6100	System Administration	0	\$114,794	114,794		97,686	\$17,108
6400	Communications and Delivery Service	60,382	\$233,956	294,338		511,579	-\$217,241
6500	Shared e Content and Subscription Services/Databases	29,445	\$71,585	101,030		347,630	-\$246,600
6700	ILS	48,930	\$42,611	91,541		237,519	-\$145,978
	TOTAL BUDGETED EXPENDITURES	\$138,757	\$983,368	\$1,122,125		\$1,769,450	-\$647,324
	Anticipated Surplus/(Deficit)		0	-		-	

5/16/20223:39 PM Final Budget 2022-2023Detail

### 41 of 47

### **BLACK GOLD COOPERATIVE LIBRARY SYSTEM** Final Budget FY 2022-2023 NO SLO and NO SB

		<u>CLSA</u>	LOCAL	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>Library Contribution</u> <u>TOTAL</u>	Variance from Prior Year
Budgete	ed Expenditures					
60	00-All Programs - Personnel Costs					
6101	Regular Salaries	\$0	\$374,800	\$374,800	422,893	(48,093)
6102	Benefits		145,622	145,622	152,142	(6,520)
	Total All Programs - Personnel Costs	\$0	\$520,422	\$520,422	\$575,035	-\$54,613
61	00-System Administration					
5011	Office Expense	\$0	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$3,000	(500)
5012	Service Charge, Bank etc		250	250	250	0
5014	Postage & Shipping		400	400	400	0
5213	Printing		0	-	100	(100)
5016	Reimbursement Meeting Expense		500	500	500	0
5035	Vehicle Fuel & Maintenance		1,000	1,000	1,000	0
5051	Auditors		13,150	13,150	15,000	(1,850)
5054	Payroll Processing Fees		2,000	2,000	2,000	0
5057	Rent		37,574	37,574	35,865	1,709
5058	Utilities		3,000	3,000	4,000	(1,000)
5059	Attorney Fees		15,000	15,000	5,000	10,000
5082	Janitorial Service		1,320	1,320	2,020	(700)
5115	Travel		0	-	-	0
6118	Dues & Subscriptions		2,000	2,000	2,500	(500)
5153	Insurance		20,000	20,000	20,000	0
6222	Staff Training		0	-	-	0
6238	Web Design		250	250	300	(50)
6262	Internet Access		1,350	1,350	1,251	99
	Consultants		10,000	10,000	-	10,000
6291	Office Equipment and Maintenance		500	500	500	0
6292	Software		4,000	4,000	4,000	0
	Total System Administration	\$0	\$114,794	\$114,794	\$97,686	\$17,108

5/16/20223:39 PM Final Budget 2022-2023Detail

### **BLACK GOLD COOPERATIVE LIBRARY SYSTEM** Final Budget FY 2022-2023 NO SLO and NO SB

			CLSA	LOCAL	TOTAL	Library Contribution	TOTAL	Variance from Prior Year
64	00-System Communications and Delivery Service							
5045	Communication Supplies			\$1,000	\$1,000		\$1,000	0
6241	Communication Supplies  Communication Line Expense			8,657	8,657		66,475	(57,818)
6450	Communication Line Expense - CENIC			215,799	215,799		350,000	(134,201)
6294b	Cenic Telecom Equipment & Maintenance			8,500	8,500		10,000	(1,500)
6485	Courier Contract - Central Courier (2 days per week)		60,382	0	60,382		94,104	(33,722)
0.00	Total Communications and Delivery Service	_	\$60,382	\$233,956	\$294,338		\$511,579	-\$2 <b>27,241</b>
CF	·	=	700/00=	<del>+/</del>	7=0 .,000		70==,0:0	<del></del>
	00-Databases/Downloadables		¢20.445	C0 F0F	¢00.020		244 620	(246,600)
6147	eContent Overdrive downloadables 40% of prior year \$7440/month	\$ 89,280.00	\$29,445	68,585	\$98,030		344,630	(246,600)
	Overdrive downloadables 40% of pitol year 37440/month  Overdrive Service Plan \$ 5,000 (3 year contract)	\$ 5,000.00						
	OverDrive Magazines CY \$15750-12000	\$ 3,750.00						
6147b	Enki \$ 2,000			2 000	2.000		2.000	0
61470	Subscription Services Luna \$ 3,000			3,000	3,000		3,000	0
	Total Database Services	_	\$29,445	\$71,585	\$101,030		347,630	-\$246,600
		=	723,443	<b>471,303</b>	7101,030		347,030	<del>\$240,000</del>
67	00-ILS							
6227	Authority Control - Marcive	\$ 2,985.00		\$3,000	\$3,000		\$4,485	(1,485)
6236	ILS		22,772	7,802	30,574		138,176	(107,602)
	Aspen Discovery \$16,400	\$16,400						
	Koha - Annually \$38,230 (10 months paid from reserves)	\$6,372						
	Talking Tech ITIVA (\$ 2096+2201=4297 - PY)	\$4,401						
	implementation	\$2,100						
	Dell Maint ITIVA server	\$1,301						
6516	ILS AddOns		26,158	16,000	42,158		52,275	(10,117)
	Novelist (\$ 23,265 - PY)	\$18,700						
	The Content Café (\$ 8,055 - PY)	\$3,288						
	Quipu (\$ 4,380 - PY)	\$3,500						
	Capira maintenance (\$16,575 - PY)	\$16,000						
	EZ Proxy	\$670						
6240	PCI Compliance - Comprise	\$6,809		6,809	6,809		11,317	(4,508)
6276	Skyriver/MARC records (\$21,266 - PY)	\$9,000		9,000	9,000		21,266	(12,266)
	Total ILS	_	\$48,930	\$42,611	\$91,541	_	\$237,519	-\$135,978
		_	,	. ,	1,- 1-		,,	,

42 of 47

5/16/20223:39 PM Final Budget 2022-2023Detail

### **BLACK GOLD COOPERATIVE LIBRARY SYSTEM**

### NO SLO and NO SB

### Circ #'s based on 6 months ended 12/31/21

### Final Budget FY 2022-2023

			Resources	5									
2022-2023	BASE %	CIRC	POP		DEVICES								
	20%	25%	50%		25%								
	BASE	RESOURCES	E-CONTENT	то	TAL CONTRIBUTION	\$ Increase	% Increase	HOOPLA	Hotspots	Cybrarian	Movie Licensing	Te	otal Payments to Black Gold
Blanchard/Santa Paula	\$ 20,119	\$ 34,155	\$ 2,217	\$	56,490	\$ (1,467)	-3%	\$ 6,000	\$ 4,939	\$ -	\$ 322	\$	67,751
Lompoc	\$ 20,119	\$ 67,343	\$ 7,454	\$	94,915	\$ 1,017	1%	\$ 17,300	\$ 4,233	\$ -	\$ 617	\$	117,065
Santa Maria	\$ 20,119	\$ 178,648	\$ 17,022	\$	215,788	\$ 27,905	15%	\$ 13,600	\$ 7,762	\$ -	\$ 1,606	\$	238,756
Paso Robles	\$ 20,119	\$ 51,566	\$ 12,543	\$	84,228	\$ 4,759	6%	\$ 16,208	\$ 7,056	\$ -	\$ 326	\$	107,818
San Luis Obispo	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ (441,889)	-100%				\$ 2,570	\$	2,570
Santa Barbara	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ (198,913)	-100%				\$ 1,090	\$	1,090
Carpinteria	\$ 20,119	\$ 19,153	\$ 3,823	\$	43,095	\$ 43,095		\$ 12,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 181	\$	55,276
Goleta	\$ 20,119	\$ 131,984	\$ 25,527	\$	177,630	\$ 17,262	11%	\$ 57,800	\$ 8,114	\$ 850	\$ 1,195	\$	245,589
	\$ 120,712	\$ 482,848	\$ 68,585	\$	672,145	\$ (548,232)		\$ 122,908	\$ 32,104	\$ 850	\$ 7,908	\$	835,915
			•		-			•			·		-

### Final Budget FY 2021-2022

						Resources	3													
2021-2022		BASE %		CIRC		POP		DEVICES												
		20%		25%		50%		25%												
										IOODI A		Llatenata		C.bi		Movie				
		BASE		RESOURCES		E-CONTENT	то	TAL CONTRIBUTION	'	HOOPLA		Hotspots		потероте		Cybrarian	Licensing		Black	
Blanchard/Santa Paula	Ś	27,175	Ļ	27,324	۲.	2 450	۲.	F7.0F7	ć	C 250	Ś	4 C 41	۲		۲.	322				
•	Ş	,	•	,	•	3,458	-	57,957	\$	6,259	΄.	4,641	-		\$					
Lompoc	\$	27,175		54,229		12,494	•	93,898	\$	18,073	\$	-, -	-		\$	617				
Santa Maria	\$	27,175	\$	137,093	\$	23,615	\$	187,883	\$	16,259	\$	7,140	\$	-	\$	1,606				
Paso Robles	\$	27,175	\$	34,304	\$	17,990	\$	79,469	\$	16,208	\$	6,783	\$	232	\$	326				
San Luis Obispo	\$	27,175	\$	291,386	\$	123,328	\$	441,889	\$	100,069	\$	16,779	\$	3,288	\$	2,570				
Santa Barbara	\$	27,175	\$	118,919	\$	52,819	\$	198,913	\$	-	\$	10,353	\$	-	\$	1,271				
Carpinteria	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-				
Goleta	\$	27,175	\$	97,652	\$	35,541	\$	160,368	\$	95,104	\$	7,140	\$	706	\$	1,195				
	\$	190,225	\$	760,907	\$	269,245	\$	1,220,377	\$	251,972	\$	56,049	\$	4,226	\$	7,907				

### e-Content Budget Allocation Zinio & Overdrive for 2022-2023 Budget Year using 2020-2021 checkout statistics

	Magaziı	2022-23 OD Magazine Budget			
	2020-21 checkouts	2020-21 percentages	\$	3,750.00	
SP	762	3.6%	\$	134.47	
LOM	2434	11.5%	\$	429.53	
SM	5386	25.3%	\$	950.47	
PR	3374	15.9%	\$	595.41	
SLO	0	0.0%	\$	-	
SB	0	0.0%	\$	-	
CARP	2857	13.4%	\$	504.19	
GOL	6437	30.3%	\$	1,135.94	
	21250	100.0%	\$	3,750.00	
cost per checkout	\$ 0.18				

Enki !	22-23 Budget	
2020-21	2020-21	\$ -
checkouts	percentages	
32	1.8%	\$ -
449	24.9%	\$ -
419	23.2%	\$ -
382	21.1%	\$ -
0	0.0%	\$ -
0	0.0%	\$ -
86	4.7%	\$ -
439	24.3%	\$ -
1807	100%	\$ -

Overdrive	2022-23 Overdrive Budget				
2019-20	2019-20 2019-20				
checkouts	checkouts percentages				
8005	3.2%	\$	2,082.11		
27005	10.8%	\$	7,024.02		
61788	24.8%	\$	16,071.11		
45935	18.4%	\$	11,947.73		
0	0.0%	\$	-		
0	0.0%	\$	-		
12759	5.1%	\$	3,318.57		
93777	37.6%	\$	24,391.47		
249269	100%	\$	64,835.00		

0.26

1 1								
	Proposed 2022-23							
	e-Content							
	\$	68,585.00						
	\$	2,216.58						
	\$	7,453.55						
	\$	17,021.57						
	\$	12,543.14						
	\$	-						
	\$	-						
	\$	3,822.76						
	\$	25,527.41						
	\$	68,585.00						

SB	27658	830	123512
Carp 10.33%	2857	86	12759

Note: Carpinteria checkouts for Magazines and Enki are calculated based on % of Overdrive checkouts ( Carp/total SB )

BLACK GOLD COOPERATIVE LIBRARY SYSTEM FY 2022/23 Payroll Budget Worksheet Final Budget FY 2022-2023

								COLA		Employer Pd.							
	Beg		Salary	@7/1/2021	New Hrly	# of PPDs	# of PPDs	5.0%	0.65%	PERS retire	Employer Pd		\$668.00				
	of Yr H	Hrs per	Anniv	Hrly or Avg	Rate After	PPDs @	PPDs @	Total est.	Workers	10.8700%	Unfunded	Other	Health	Medicare	Unemp.		Benefits
Employee	Step	wk/yr	Date	Hrly rate	Anniv Date	old rate	new rate	wages 2022-2023	Comp	7.4700%	Liability		Alloc	@ 1.45%	3.90%	TOTAL	Only
ACTIVE EMPLOYEES	<u>S:</u>																
Dir of Operations	С	40	12/06/22	\$63.89	\$67.08	12	14	\$143,287	\$931	\$10,704			\$8,016	\$2,194	\$273	\$165,405	\$22,118
System Admin	E	40	top step	\$41.35	\$41.35	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Network Admin	Е	40	top step	\$41.35	\$41.35	26	0	\$90,308	\$587	\$6,746			\$8,016	\$1,426	\$273	\$107,356	\$17,048
Admin Asst	Е	40	top step	\$28.31	\$28.31	26	0	\$61,829	\$402	\$4,619			\$8,016	\$1,013	\$273	\$76,151	\$14,322
Cataloger	E	40	top step	\$33.15	\$33.15	26	0	\$72,400	\$471	\$7,870			\$8,016	\$1,166	\$273	\$90,195	\$17,795
On Call hours @ \$2.	.00/hr	988		\$2.00	\$2.00			\$1,976	\$13					\$29		\$2,017	\$41
Overtime etc								\$5,000	\$33					\$73		\$5,105	\$105
EAP program- Mage	ellan canc	celled										\$0				\$0	\$0
Employer Pd Unfund	ded Liabil	lity									\$61,551					\$61,551	\$61,551
RETIREES: * (insura	nce conti	ribution	est \$149 pe	r month)													
Segel, Judith													\$1,788			\$1,788	\$1,788
Wolfe, Alana													\$1,788			\$1,788	\$1,788
Clark, Eve													\$1,788			\$1,788	\$1,788
Hale													\$1,788			\$1,788	\$1,788
Harter													\$1,788			\$1,788	\$1,788
See, Gary													\$1,788			\$1,788	\$1,788
Theobald, Maureen													\$1,788			\$1,788	\$1,788
Admin Fee													\$125			\$125	\$125
								\$374,800	\$2,436	\$29,938	\$61,551	\$0	\$44,705	\$5,900	\$1,092	\$520,422	\$145,622
															-	\$520,422	\$145,622
																\$0	\$0

MEETING DATE:	6/17/22	ESTIMATED TIME FOR ITEM:								
TITLE OF ITEM:	Wells Fargo Business	PRIORITY LEVEL: (1-Low 3 – High)	□ 1 □ 2 ⊠ 3							
SUBMITTED BY:	Glynis Fitzgerald									
TYPE OF ITEM:	☐ For Discussion ☒ For Decision/Request Motion ☐ Other:									
	BACKGROUND ST	ATEMENT								
BACKGROUND: Describe why you are bringing this item before council. What problem are you trying to solve? List information that would be helpful for decision making such as committee recommendations, pros/cons.	Regarding Wells Fargo Bank, there is some clean up that needs to be done on their records for Black Gold. Some past signatories are still on our account and Maureen Theobald is still listed as the Secretary and key executive of Black Gold. Unfortunately, I cannot find a definitive statement in the minutes that Glynis Fitzgerald is the Black Gold Secretary and Treasurer.  They need a statement from Black Gold acknowledging that Maureen Theobald retired in June 2019 and that Glynis Fitzgerald was re-classified as Director of Operations in December 2019 and as such is the Black Gold Secretary and Treasurer.  The ByLaws state that the System Director shall be the Secretary of the Administrative Council and the Treasurer of the System. According to the business legal department at Wells Fargo, "We cannot rely									
	on the individual's title to determine if they ho	ave banking authority ove	er the business".							
	OUTCOME	E(S)								
DESIRED OUTCOME(S):	Add the following statement in the minutes:									
Describe your desired outcome(s)	Maureen Theobald retired from Black Gold Cooperative Library System (System) in June 2019. She was replaced in December 2019 by Glynis Fitzgerald, Director of Operations. In this position, Glynis Fitzgerald is the Secretary and Treasurer of the System, and has the authority to conduct System business at Wells Fargo Bank. Glynis Fitzgerald should be added as key executive and signer on the account and Maureen Theobald should be removed as key executive and signer on the account.									
OTHER COMMENTS:										

MEETING DATE:	June 17, 2022	ESTIMATED TIME FOR ITEM:	20 minutes							
TITLE OF ITEM:	Black Gold Contributions – 4 <sup>th</sup> Q FY22	PRIORITY LEVEL: (1-Low 3 – High)	□ 1 □ 2 ⊠ 3							
SUBMITTED BY:	Santa Barbara Public Library and County of Sa	n Luis Obispo Public Libr	aries							
TYPE OF ITEM:	☐ For Discussion ☒ For Decision/Request M	☐ For Discussion ☒ For Decision/Request Motion ☐ Other:								
	BACKGROUND ST	ATEMENT								
BACKGROUND:  Describe why you are bringing this item before council.  What problem are you trying to solve?  List information that would be helpful for decision making such as committee recommendations, pros/cons.	An FY22 budget was adopted by Black Gold Adadoption, all members were expected to contour However, in early 2022 both Santa Barbara and action changed the budget landscape and as a their memberships in the Black Gold JPA a reditemize the Q4 invoice.  This is a reasonable request, as neither jurisdit for costs or services that were not incurred or under Section 9 of the JPA as it allows for an adadetailed invoice that demonstrates that the have actually been incurred. Both jurisdictions items that represent actual costs.  Additionally, the Operations Manager has required the Q4 invoice. However, during the March 1 motion was made:  A motion was made for SLO and SB to pay the age 2nd CB. Roll call: PR – yes, SLO – yes, SM – yes, LM Magazines are considered eContent and should be actually so the supplies of the pay the age 2nd CB. Roll call: PR – yes, SLO – yes, SM – yes, LM Magazines are considered eContent and should be actually supplies the pay the age 2nd CB. Roll call: PR – yes, SLO – yes, SM – yes, LM Magazines are considered eContent and should be actually supplies the pay the age 2nd CB. Roll call: PR – yes, SLO – yes, SM – yes, LM Magazines are considered eContent and should be actually supplies the pay the age 2nd CB.	inue membership in the and San Luis Obispo Count both Santa Barbara and Squest has been made to the ction can, in good conscipling for the JPA membership amounts requested for each shave committed, in prince the committed of the counting for the JPA membership amounts requested for each shave committed, in prince the committed of the counting for the JPA membership amounts requested for each committed of the counting for the JPA membership amounts requested for each committed of the counting for the JPA membership amounts requested payment for eCounting for the JPA membership amounts requested payment for eCounting for the JPA membership amounts requested payment for eCounting for the JPA membership amounts requested payment for eCounting for the JPA membership amounts requested payment for eCounting for the JPA membership amounts requested payment for eCounting for the JPA membership amounts requested payment for eCounting for the JPA membership amounts requested payment for eCounting for the JPA membership amounts requested for each for e	JPA for the foreseeable future.  Ly withdrew from the JPA. This  San Luis Obispo are winding down  the Operations Manager to  Lous, commit public funds to pay  s simply an exercise permitted  embers. Therefore, Please provide  each line item represent costs that  or communications, to pay for  Intent for OverDrive Magazines in  council meeting the following  orm fee but no content fees. 1st NB/  EP – yes. Approved. 7-0							
<b>DESIRED OUTCOME(S):</b> Describe your desired outcome(s)	The jurisdictions of Santa Barbara and San Lui quarter expenses at least on three separate o costs to provide services to Santa Barbara and	s Obispo have requested ccasions. Direct the Ope	erations Manager to supply actual							
OTHER COMMENTS:										